breglobal

Life cycle environmental and economic analysis of polyurethane insulation in low energy buildings

Prepared for: PU Europe (formerly BING), Av. E. van Nieuwenhuyse 6, B-1160 Brussels

March 2010 (FINAL) Client report number 254-665

Protecting People, Property and the Planet



Prepared of	Prepared on behalf of BRE Global by		
Name	Alan Swabey		
Position	Senior Consultant		
	Aran Lealing		
Signature			

Approved on behalf of BRE Global by

1

Name	Victoria Blake
Position	Associate Director BREEAM Materials
Date	26 March 2010
	V. Have
Signature	

BRE Global	
Bucknalls Lane	
Watford	
Herts	
WD25 9XX	
T + 44(0) 1923664100	
F + 44(0) 1923 664994	
E onguirios@broglobal.com	
www.bregiobai.com	
L	

This report is made on behalf of BRE Global. By receiving the report and acting on it, the client - or any third party relying on it - accepts that no individual is personally liable in contract, tort or breach of statutory duty (including negligence).

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Executive Summary

Purpose

2

The purpose of this project is to quantify the overall environmental and economic costs of using polyurethane (PU) insulation in low energy buildings. This has been demonstrated by balancing the embodied environmental impact in manufacturing the PU product and similar products with the environmental benefits of reduced energy used for heating and, for the economic analysis, a comparison of the life cycle costs of each.

Three scenarios were modelled using a 3-bedroom, 5-person two-storey detached house, with masonry cavity walls, solid concrete floor and pitched or flat roof. Each scenario considered three different climatic zones: Temperate Oceanic, Temperate Mediterranean and Cool Continental, as referenced in the Köppen Climate Classification.

Part 1 New building scenario Using polyurethane, stone wool, glass wool or expanded polystyrene insulation material, as appropriate, in a new build cavity wall, pitched roof and ground floor to achieve target U values and hence the same energy consumption for all scenarios.

Part 2 Refurbishment scenario Using 50mm thickness of polyurethane, stone wool or glass wool insulation materials to upgrade (refurbish) the external wall of an existing building with an internal lining, resulting in different U values and hence different energy consumptions

Part 3 Flat roof scenario Using polyurethane, stone wool and expanded polystyrene insulation material of varying thicknesses for a flat roof to achieve a common U value and assess the impact of the density of each material.

Executive summary				
Part 1 New build scenario	Part 2 Refurbishment scenario	Part 3 Flat roof scenario		
Identification of environmental impacts (life cycle assessments)	Identification of environmental impacts (life cycle assessments)	Identification of environmental impacts (life cycle assessments)		
Identification of cost impacts (life cycle costs)	Identification of cost impacts (life cycle costs)	Identification of cost impacts (life cycle costs)		
Affect on building footprint of using different insulation materials		Impact of weight of insulation on building construction and building costs		
Background report – methodology, detailed results for internal reference				

The report has been formatted as follows:



Environmental impacts

3

LCA assessments were carried out to investigate the environmental impacts associated with the materials and energy consumption for the alternative designs included in the project. The objective of this work was to consider how the impact of the materials compared with each other and with the impact of energy consumption during use.

The LCA work used a study period of 50 years in line with the life cycle costing part of the project, as requested by the client. Results are presented as characterised and normalised data for the environmental impact categories of Global Warming, Stratospheric Ozone Depletion, Eutrophication, Photochemical Ozone Creation, and Acidification. These indicators were used as they were the impact indicators proposed in TC350 at the time the project was initiated. Data was normalised to the annual impacts of a Western European citizen, covering the EU15 (plus Norway and Switzerland).

Note: The approach used in this study differs from that of BRE Global's Environmental Profiles Methodology, which underpins the Green Guide to Specification, and therefore the results produced are not comparable with other results published by BRE Global for the environmental impact of construction products or specifications. Section (Introduction to LCA) provides more details of the differences from the Environmental Profiles Methodology.

The table below summarises the aspects modelled within the LCA work. Part 1 considers the environmental impacts associated with new build, Part 2 investigates refurbishment by applying an internal lining to existing external walls, and Part 3 models flat roofs.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

4



Part	Element	Climate Zone	Energy for space heating	Insulations	Materials
1	Cavity Wall	 Temperate Oceanic Temperate Mediterranean Cool Continental 	Gas	• PU • stone wool • glass wool	Brick, dense blockwork, plaster, paint Extra wall, roof & foundations compared with PU wall
	Pitched roof	 Temperate Oceanic Temperate Mediterranean Cool Continental 	n/a	• PU • stone wool • glass wool	Concrete tiles, battens, underfelt, trussed rafters. For ceiling below joists: plasterboard, paint
	Ground floor	 Temperate Oceanic Temperate Mediterranean Cool Continental 	n/a	• PU • EPS	Reinforced concrete, reinforced screed
	Whole house	 Temperate Oceanic Temperate Mediterranean Cool Continental 	Gas	 PU, walls roof stone wool, walls + roof glass wool, walls + roof 	External wall, & roof as for each insulation type; all with PU ground floor, upper floor with I-joists, painted timber windows
2	Internal Lining of external wall (refurbishment)	 Temperate Oceanic Temperate Mediterranean Cool Continental 	Gas	 PU EPS stone wool glass wool 	Plasterboard, plaster adhesive, paint Battens, plasterboard, paint
3	Flat roof	 Temperate Oceanic Temperate Mediterranean Cool Continental 	n/a	• PU • stone wool • EPS	EPDM, vapour control layer, OSB, timber joists, plasterboard, paint

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Summary of models used for the study

5

The original project brief requested wood wool (cellulose) board insulation to be assessed in Part 1, new build, pitched roof. However, no suitable LCI data is available in the public domain to allow this product to be included in the LCA work.

The results from Part 1 indicated that the PU designs tended to have similar or higher environmental impacts than those for designs with the alternative insulation materials at the same thermal performance. Part 3's results indicated that when insulations need mechanical properties in addition to their thermal performance, then solutions using PU can have environmental impacts similar to or lower than alternative insulations.

The results from Part 2 show that where the amount of insulation is fixed, rather than the U-value, then the greater energy savings achieved with using PU insulation offset the higher environmental impact of the PU insulation itself.

Part 1 further indicates that the materials of the modelled highly insulated house accounted for around one third of the total Global Warming and 50 to 90% of the whole house impact in Ozone Depletion, Eutrophication, Photochemical Ozone Creation and Acidification for Air and Water over 50 years for all climate zones.

However, the results from Part 2 imply that:

- a) if the cavity is kept at the greater thickness needed for stone wool and glass wool in Part 1 to achieve the set U-value of 0.15 W m⁻²K⁻¹ then the extra PU insulation that could be incorporated would save energy in use, which could be enough to offset the extra impact of the PU material, and may offer greater benefits as demonstrated in Part 2;
- b) if stone wool and glass wool were modelled at the same cavity thickness as for the PU model in Part 1of this project, it is likely that stone wool and glass wool would require more energy during use, which might more than offset the lower environmental impacts of these insulations.

We would recommend that consideration is given to carrying out the assessments outlined in a) and b) above ensuring that the specifications and energy models are relevant to the climate zones where the models are set.

Additionally, the energy models have assumed a common airtightness and junction y value for all models. The relevance of this assumption to the results is another further area of research.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665
Commercial in confidence



Cost impacts

6

Life cycle costing (LCC) is a technique to establish the total cost of ownership. It is a structured approach which addresses all the elements of this cost and can be used to produce a spend profile of the asset over its anticipated life-span

LCC estimates were undertaken using BRE's cost model which is compliant with BS/ISO 15686-5 Buildings and constructed assets Service life planning Part 5 life cycle costing. The life cycle costs have allowed for normal maintenance and time expired components as appropriate.

The specification for the elements and the cost of all components are intended to represent the typical cost incurred by building owners. The values in the assessment are based on those required for a two storey detached property with a gross internal floor area of 104m².

The results for Part 1 cavity wall infill indicates that for all regions considered, 180mm polyurethane insulation used in the cavity has the lowest life cycle cost, followed by 270mm glass wool and 270mm stone wool.

To achieve the required u values, different thicknesses of insulation required different quantities of materials for the wall and hence higher construction costs. An additional cost/m² for this is included with the new wall calculations.

One effect of a thicker new cavity wall is the additional footprint area required for roof and floor. On a large building site this may affect the density or number of properties that could be built on the site, e.g. in the worst case, 8.00m² extra on the roof area for each property may mean that only 9 properties could be fitted in an area that may be able to accommodate 10 if the external walls were thinner.

The results for a new pitched roof with insulation between and over the rafters to achieve a common U value indicate that 190mm polyurethane has the lowest life cycle cost when used in all regions followed by 300mm glass wool insulation and 310mm stone wool.

The results for the new ground floor indicates that 95mm polyurethane insulation has the lower life cycle cost when used in all regions, followed by 185mm expanded polystyrene.

The results for Part 2 refurbishment of an existing wall by the addition of 50mm insulation to the inside face indicates that polyurethane insulation has the lowest life cycle cost, followed by expanded polystyrene, glass wool and stone wool in all regions.

The results for Part 3 new warm deck flat roof indicates that 150mm polyurethane has the lowest life cycle cost when used in all regions, followed by 255mm expanded polystyrene and 255mm stone wool insulation.



Contents

7

1		Description of the project	8
2		Identification of environmental impacts	9
	2.1	Introduction to LCA	9
	2.2	Part 1 New build LCA	12
	2.3	Part 2 Refurbishment: internal lining of existing external walls LCA	30
	2.4	Part 3 New warm deck flat roof LCA	35
3		Identification of cost impacts	38
	3.1	Introduction to LCC	38
	3.2	Part 1 New build LCC	42
	3.2.1	Affect on building footprint	53
	3.3	Part 2 Refurbishment: external walls LCC	54
	3.4	Part 3 New warm deck flat roof LCC	58
	3.4.1	Flat roof loading.	61
4		Conclusion	62
	4.1	Environmental impacts	62
	4.2	Cost impacts	63
A	ppend	ix 1	64
	Therm	al assessment and energy calculations	64
A	ppend	ix 2	67
	Outline	e Specifications of elements	67
A	ppend	ix 3	71
	Details	of house model	71
Appendix 4		73	
	SAP C	alculations	73
A	ppend	ix 5	119
	Life cy	cle stages impacts of PU insulation	119

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

1 Description of the project

Outline of the brief

8

BING -The Federation of European Rigid Polyurethane Foam Associations, (now renamed as PU Europe) has asked BRE Global to undertake a research project to examine the life cycle environmental and economic analysis of polyurethane insulation in low energy buildings. This entails carrying out a review of the life cycle costs (LCC) and life cycle assessment (LCA) of polyurethane (PU) insulation in buildings compared with glass wool (GW), stone wool (SW), expanded polystyrene (EPS) or wood wool (cellulose) insulation as appropriate to the location within the building. To do so BRE Global has assumed a 3-bedroom, 5-person two-storey detached house, with masonry cavity walls, solid floor and pitched roof for whole house analysis and flat roof for the flat roof analysis.

Wood wool (cellulose) board insulation has been omitted from this report as no suitable LCI data is available in the public domain to allow this product to be included in the LCA work.

The project brief requires a comparison to be made of the overall environmental impact and cost implications when applying the model to 3 different climate zones, Temperate Oceanic (TO), Temperate Mediterranean (TM) and Cool Continental (CC) as referenced in the Köppen Climate Classification. These climate zones relate broadly to Western Europe (TO), Southern Europe (TM) and Northern Europe (CC). The construction is assumed to be the same, but the energy inputs will vary with the different climatic regions.

Executive summary			
Part 1 New build scenario	Part 2 Refurbishment scenario	Part 3 Flat roof scenario	
Identification of environmental impacts (life cycle assessments)	Identification of environmental impacts (life cycle assessments)	Identification of environmental impacts (life cycle assessments)	
Identification of cost impacts (life cycle costs)	Identification of cost impacts (life cycle costs)	Identification of cost impacts (life cycle costs)	
Affect on building footprint of using different insulation materials		Impact of weight of insulation on building construction costs	
Background report – methodology, detailed results for internal reference			

The report has been formatted as follows:



2 Identification of environmental impacts

2.1 Introduction to LCA

LCA modelling has been undertaken to determine the environmental impacts associated with using different insulation materials during new build (Part 1), refurbishment of existing external walls (Part 2) and for a warm deck flat roof (Part 3).

The following models have been generated:

- 1. Part 1 new build with target u values
 - a. Cavity walls plus any additional roof, external brick leaf and foundations (PU, stone wool batts or glass wool batts)
 - b. Pitched roof (PU, stone wool or glass wool)
 - c. Ground floor (PU or EPS)
 - d. Whole house (External walls + roof + ground floor & foundations + upper floor + windows + energy) in use over 50 years
- 2. Part 2 refurbishment with target thickness
 - a. Internal lining of existing external walls (PU, stone wool, glass wool or EPS refurbishment materials + energy) in use over 50 years
- 3. Part 3 flat roof with target u values
 - a. Flat roofs (PU, stone wool or EPS)

These models allow the following comparisons to be made:

- 1. Part 1, new build
 - a. Constructions with each other (insulation & other materials needed)
 - b. Whole house models with each other & energy use within & between climate zones
- 2. Part 2, refurbishment
 - a. Constructions with each other (insulated drylining only)
 - b. Constructions & energy use within & between climate zones
- 3. Part 3, new flat roof
 - a. Constructions with each other (insulation & other materials needed)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

The LCA studies have been performed using a methodology based on BRE Global's Updated Environmental Profiles Methodology¹. The table below addresses the key aspects of methodology, and highlights where modifications to the Environmental Profiles methodology have been made.

Aspect	BING Study	Modifications to BRE Methodology		
Study Period	Any maintenance and replacement over a 50-year study period, plus demolition at or after the end of the study period.	60-year study period used in Environmental Profiles and Green Guide. Data on service life and calculation of replacement factor unchanged.		
Indicators	Global Warming (100-year): kg CO ₂ equivalents Ozone Depletion: kg CFC-11 equivalents Eutrophication: kg phosphate (PO ₄) equivalents Photochemical Ozone Creation: kg ethene (C ₂ H ₄) equivalents Acidification for Air and Water: kg sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) equivalents	Additional 8 indicators used in Environmental Profiles and the Green Guide.		
Indicators: Characterisation Factors	Characterisation factors are an update of the CML 2002 indicators, based on those in spreadsheet version 2.7 (issued April 2004) which were downloaded from <u>http://cml.leiden.edu/software/data- cmlia.html</u> , as integrated by Pre into the Simapro Software (v 2.02 in 2005).			
Treatment of Group parameters	Group parameter emissions of VOCs are considered in terms of Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential only, based on characterisation factors (calculated by averaging factors for a given group of emissions) provided in the draft of prEN15804 from 26.8.2009 and earlier.	In the BRE Global Methodology, group parameter emissions are characterised using the methodology proposed in the CML Operational Appendix (Part 2b) ² to break down group parameters (e.g. emissions of NMVOCs etc) into their individual constituents. Thus a reported emission of a group (eg alkanes) would be considered to be made up of the mass		

¹ The BRE Environmental Profiles Methodology can be downloaded from www,bre.co.uk/greenguide.

- Part 1: LCA in perspective: <u>http://www.leidenuniv.nl/cml/ssp/projects/lca2/part1.pdf</u>
- Part 2a: Guide: http://www.leidenuniv.nl/cml/ssp/projects/lca2/part2a.pdf

² Guinée et al, Life cycle assessment: an operational guide to the ISO standards. CML, Leiden University 2000. This can be downloaded in 4 parts from

Part 2b: Operational Appendix: <u>http://www.leidenuniv.nl/cml/ssp/projects/lca2/part2b.pdf</u> Part 3: Scientific Background: <u>http://www.leidenuniv.nl/cml/ssp/projects/lca2/part3.pdf</u>

Aspect	BING Study	Modifications to BRE Methodology		
		proportion of the relevant chemicals from the group listed in Derwent et al (1996) ³ provided in Part 2b. This is done for factors for POCP, Global Warming and Stratospheric Ozone Depletion.		
Cradle to Gate LCA Data	Data for typical European PU manufacture provided by BING, and modelled using ecoinvent v2.0 datasets. Data for stone wool and glass wool insulation based on data provided through the Environmental Profiles project from members of Eurisol in the UK, and modelled using ecoinvent v2.0 datasets. Data for EPS taken from ecoinvent v2.0. Data for natural gas production and combustion used in building energy modelling taken from ecoinvent v2.0. All other construction products for the buildings modelled using ecoinvent v1.3.*	Data for PU based on UK Manufacturers only and modelled using ecoinvent v1.3 datasets. Data for stone wool and glass wool insulation based data provided through the Environmental Profiles project from members of Eurisol in the UK and modelled using ecoinvent v1.3 datasets. Data for EPS taken from ecoinvent v.1.3. No building level energy use taken into consideration within Environmental Profiles and the Green Guide – this is covered within BREEAM and the Code for Sustainable Homes. All other construction products for the buildings modelled using ecoinvent v1.3.		
LCA data for Transport of material to site	Data from the Environmental Profiles project used.	Data from the Environmental Profiles project used.		
Wastage on site	Data from the Environmental Profiles project used.	Data from the Environmental Profiles project used.		
Disposal Routes for construction waste and demolition waste	Data from the Environmental Profiles project used. For PU note this covers 90% landfill and 10% incineration of polyurethane which is representative of UK practice.	Data from the Environmental profiles project used.		

* Note that within this project there was neither time nor budget to update all the underlying LCA models supporting the BRE Global Environmental Profiles Database from ecoinvent v1.3. However as the data for other building materials is largely common and the difference between ecoinvent v1.3 and v2.0 is small, this is not considered to be important.

³ Derwent RG, ME Jenkin and SM Saunders , 1996. Photochemical ozone creation potentials for a large number of reactive hydrocarbons under European conditions. Atmospheric Environment 30 (2): 181-199.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

12	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation
----	---

 Results are presented using characterised and normalised⁴ data generated by this methodology and for the five environmental impact categories.

Key assumptions and decisions made during the LCA work are:

- Polyurethane (PU) insulation is pentane-blown
- Other materials for the houses are modelled based on data held in the BRE Global Environmental Profile Database. These are generally for 'as consumed' products in the UK
- Characterised data is normalised using the annual impacts of a European citizen (EU15 plus Norway & Switzerland) – this data has been taken directly from those provided by CML in spreadsheet version 2.7 (issued April 2004) which were downloaded from <u>http://cml.leiden.edu/software/data-cmlia.html</u>, as integrated by Pre into the Simapro Software (v 2.02 in 2005).
- The modelled constructions are assumed to be the same for all zones studied. Calculation of service life is based on the BRE Global's Environmental Profiles Methodology⁵ and has been used for all climate zones.
- Energy sources for all space heating is natural gas.
- U-values for modelled new build elements are lower (better) than required for English building regulations meaning that the modelled designs use less energy than would be the case for current UK practice. This results in materials having an increased relative importance in overall building impact.

The following sections present the results for each of the three parts of the assessment and discuss the implications of these results; the final section draws conclusions from the work and presents some recommendations for further study.

2.2 Part 1 New build LCA

This section presents the normalised and characterised data for the assessment of the following new build specifications:

- a. Cavity walls with a common U value PU; stone wool; glass wool, plus extra brick outer leaf, roof & footings for the stone & glass wool options as they will require a thicker wall construction.
- b. Pitched roof with a common U value PU; stone wool; glass wool
- c. Ground floor with a common U value PU; EPS
- d. Whole house with common U values PU (external walls + roof + ground floor + upper floor + windows + energy in use); stone wool (external walls + roof + ground floor with PU + upper floor + windows + energy in use); glass wool (external walls + roof + ground floor with PU + upper floor + windows + energy in use).

⁴ To the annual impacts of a European citizen (EU15 plus Norway & Switzerland).

⁵ More detail is given in BRE Information Paper IP 1/09, Performance and Service Life in the Environmental Profiles Methodology and Green Guide to Specification, IHS BRE Press, 2009.

Assessments a-c were undertaken over a 50-year study period, covering the environmental impacts of the building materials used to build the house, and any maintenance, replacement over the study period and demolition at or after the end of the 50-year study period. For d – the whole house assessment, in addition to the materials assessment above, it also includes the environmental impacts associated with space heating over the 50-year study period, for three climatic zones, Cool Continental, Temperate Oceanic and Temperate Mediterranean.

Cavity wall

The characterised data for the new build cavity walls is presented in Table 1.

	Characterised				
Cavity Walls	Global Warming	Ozone Depletion	Eutrophication	Photochemical Ozone Creation	Acidification for Air and Water
	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg
Wall materials (stone & glass wool insulation)	8,730	0.0117	5.71	7.05	55.4
Wall materials (PU insulation)	8,590	0.0116	5.65	6.95	54.4
Extra roof (stone & glass wool insulation) = 3m ²	82	0.000189	0.109	0.219	1.98
Extra foundation (stone & glass wool insulation) = 3m	574	0.000325	0.351	0.254	2.56
Stone wool insulation	2,130	0.000400	1.06	1.05	14.1
Glass wool insulation	1,450	0.000264	0.95	1.05	5.85
PU (pentane blown) insulation	3,830	0.000235	3.3	6.38	22.4
Totals					
Stone wool total (materials + insulation)	11,516	0.0126	7.23	8.57	74.1
Glass wool total (materials + insulation)	10,836	0.0125	7.12	8.58	65.8
PU (pentane) total (materials + insulation)	12,420	0.0118	8.9	13.3	76.9

 Table 1
 Characterised data for the new build cavity walls.

The data from Table 1 is summarised graphically in Figure 1 below.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	
Commercial in confidence	



Figure 1 Part 1 Cavity wall with glass wool, stone wool or PU insulation (characterised).

The results in Figure 1 are the characterised data expressed as a proportion of the maximum impact in each category. The results show that the PU design had the highest impacts in four of the categories; the exception being Ozone Depletion, where the stone wool design had the greatest impact and the PU design the least.

The data in Table 1 indicate that the stone wool and glass wool options were 64 to 96% of the level of impacts found for the PU design in Global Warming, Eutrophication, Photochemical Ozone Creation and Acidification for Air and Water; the greatest difference being in the Photochemical Ozone Creation category. The least difference between the impacts was in the categories of Global Warming and Acidification for Air and Water. For Ozone Depletion, the glass wool design was 1% lower than the stone wool design and the PU was 7% less than the glass wool design's impact.

The insulations accounted for only 2 to 3% of their design's Ozone Depletion impact. The stone wool and the glass wool insulations accounted for 9 to 19% of their design's impacts in the other four categories. The PU insulation accounted for 29 to 48% of its design's total impact in these other categories, with the proportion being highest in Photochemical Ozone Depletion.

Normalising the data allows the levels of impact in each category to be seen in the context of the background levels of these impact categories. Since normalised data is in the same units⁶ the levels of impact in each category can be compared directly with each other.

⁶ The units are 'impact per person per year', so a normalised impact of one equates to the same impact as that caused annually by a single Western European citizen.



Figure 2 Part 1: Cavity wall with glass wool, stone wool or PU insulation (normalised).

The normalised impacts presented in Figure 2 show that, for all designs studied, Acidification for Air and Water was the highest impact, followed by Global Warming, Photochemical Ozone Creation, Eutrophication and Ozone Depletion.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Pitched roof (insulation on slope)

Appendix 2 describes how the different solutions to achieve the target U-value have been achieved. The characterised results are presented in Table 2.

	Characterised				
Pitched Roofs	Global Warming kg	Ozone Depletion kg	Eutrophication	Photochemical Ozone Creation kg	Acidification for Air and Water kg
PU (pentane)	2,360	0.000150	1.99	3.83	13.8
roofing materials (PU)	1,920	0.00332	1.90	4.26	44.2
Stone wool	2,680	0.000502	1.33	1.31	17.8
roofing materials (stone wool)	1,560	0.00410	2.11	4.68	45.6
Glass wool	769	0.000140	0.502	0.56	3.1
roofing materials (glass wool)	1,280	0.00458	2.22	4.91	46.3
Totals					
Stone wool total (materials + insulation)	4,230	0.00460	3.43	5.99	63.3
Glass wool total (materials + insulation)	2,050	0.00472	2.72	5.47	49.4
PU (pentane) total (materials + insulation)	4,280	0.00347	3.89	8.09	58.0

 Table 2
 Characterised data for the new build pitched roofs.



The results for the total impact of each design presented in Table 2 are shown in Figure 3 below.

Figure 3 Part 1: pitched roof with PU, stone wool and glass wool insulation (characterised).

The characterised data in Figure 3 shows that the PU solution had the highest impacts in Global Warming, Eutrophication and Photochemical Ozone Creation, second highest in Acidification for Air and Water, and

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

the lowest in Ozone Depletion. For Acidification for Air and Water, the stone wool design had the highest impact, whereas for Ozone Depletion the glass wool had the greatest impact.

From Table 2, for Eutrophication and Photochemical Ozone Creation, the impacts of the stone wool and glass wool designs were between 68 and 88% those of the PU solution. For Global Warming, the glass wool design's impact was less than half that for both the stone wool and the PU designs, with the impact of the stone wool design only 1% less than the PU solution's impact. The Ozone Depletion of the stone wool design was 3% lower than the glass wool design's impact, and the PU option was 27% less.

The PU insulation accounted for 4% (Ozone Depletion) to 55% (Global Warming) of the impact of the PU design. The stone wool insulation accounted for 11% (Ozone Depletion) to 63% (Global Warming) of its design's impacts and the glass wool insulation represented 3% (Ozone Depletion) to 38% (Global Warming) of its solutions' impacts.

The normalised results are presented in Figure 4 below to compare the impacts of the roofing materials and the insulations.



Figure 4 Part 1: pitched roof with PU, stone wool and glass wool insulation (normalised)

The normalised results in Figure 4 show that Acidification for Air and Water was the largest relative impact for the roofing materials for all the designs, and that the impacts in Ozone Depletion were the smallest. The results also show that the Ozone Depletion of the insulations was vanishingly small.

For the glass wool solution, the impacts of the insulation were largest for Global Warming and Acidification for Air and Water but these were much smaller than the impacts of the roof materials. The stone wool also had the largest impacts in Acidification for Air and Water and Global Warming, and, whilst the stone wool was generally of lower impact than the roof materials, the Global Warming of the stone wool was greater than for the roof materials.

For the PU, the insulations' highest impact was in Acidification for Air and Water, closely followed by Global Warming and Photochemical Ozone Creation. The PU insulation had higher impacts than the roof materials for Global Warming, and very similar impacts to the roofing materials for Photochemical Ozone Creation, and Eutrophication.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Ground floor

Appendix 2 describes how the different solutions to achieve the target U-value have been achieved. The characterised data is presented in Table 3.

		Characterised				
Ground Floors	Global Warming	Ozone Depletion	Eutrophication	Photochemical Ozone Creation	Acidification for Air and Water	
	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg	
Ground floor materials	5,280	0.00230	2.98	2.14	23.1	
PU (pentane)	763	0.0000484	0.65	1.24	4.48	
EPS	839	0.0000646	0.266	1.80	2.98	
Totals						
PU (pentane) total (materials + insulation)	6,040	0.00235	3.62	3.38	27.5	
EPS (pentane) total (materials + insulation)	6,120	0.00236	3.24	3.94	26.0	

 Table 3
 Characterised data for the new build ground floors insulated with PU and EPS.



Figure 5 Part 1. Ground floor with PU or EPS insulation (characterised).

The characterised data in Figure 5 shows that the impacts of the PU solution were greater for Eutrophication and Acidification for Air and Water but the EPS design's impacts were greater for Global Warming, Ozone Depletion and Photochemical Ozone Creation. From Table 3, the PU insulation was responsible for 2% (Ozone Depletion) to 37% (Photochemical Ozone Creation) of its solution's impacts. The EPS was the source of 3% (Ozone Depletion) to 46% (Photochemical Ozone Creation) of its design's impacts.

The normalised results are set out in Figure 6 below.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Figure 6 Part 1: ground floor with PU or EPS insulation (normalised).

Figure 6 indicates that the ground floor materials, which are common to both solutions, had higher impacts in all categories than the insulations. Both insulations' impacts were highest in Photochemical Ozone Creation, Acidification for Air and Water and Global Warming. Ozone Depletion is again shown to be a relatively small impact for both insulations and the designs containing each of them.

Whole house models

The three whole house models have been put together using the results from the assessments of the external walls, the pitched roofs and the PU ground floor in combination with an upper floor of timber I-joists with the same area as the ground floor and double glazed softwood windows according to the amount in the 3-bedroom house model.

The models have assumed that the same insulation is used in the external walls and the pitched roof. The PU ground floor was used for all as it was, marginally, the worst-case scenario assessed.

The energy source has been assumed to be natural gas for all climate zones: energy use is that for space heating and not the provision of energy necessary for lighting, cooking, refrigeration, entertainment etc.

Appendix 2 describes how the different solutions to achieve the target U-value have been achieved.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

Model 1: PU insulation

The characterised data is presented in Table 4.

	Characterised				
Model 1	Global Warming	Ozone Depletion	Eutrophication	Photochemical Ozone Creation	Acidification for Air and Water
	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg
Wall materials (PU insulation)	8,590	0.0116	5.65	6.95	54.4
PU (pentane blown) insulation	3,830	0.000235	3.30	6.38	22.4
roof materials	1,920	0.00332	1.90	4.26	44.2
PU (pentane)	2,360	0.000150	1.99	3.83	13.8
Ground floor materials	5,280	0.00230	2.98	2.14	23.1
PU (pentane)	763	0.0000484	0.65	1.24	4.48
Upper floor (timber I-joist with chipboard plus plasterboard ceiling below)	293	0.00133	1.25	1.63	22.5
Window (preservative treated, painted softwood, high quality, double glazed)	3,460	0.00670	3.57	20.60	30.2
Totals					
Total for non-insulation materials	19,543	0.0252	15.3	35.6	174
Total for insulation	6,953	0.000433	5.9	11.5	40.8
Grand total (materials + insulation)	26,496	0.0257	21.3	47.0	215
Energy					
Temperate Oceanic	61,200	0.0080	5.25	14.1	56.6
Temperate Mediterranean	50,000	0.0065	4.28	11.5	46.2
Cool Continental	145,000	0.0189	12.42	33.4	134

Table 4 Characterised data for Part 1, new build: whole house model with PU insulation.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Figure 7 Part 1 New build whole house model: PU insulation (normalised).

Figure 7 shows that the energy use in all 3 climate zones give the greatest level of Global Warming impact. However, the materials (including the insulation) had higher impacts in Eutrophication, Photochemical Ozone Creation, Acidification for Air and Water and Ozone Depletion for all the climate zones. These results are as expected since natural gas use is not a high contributor to Eutrophication, Ozone Depletion or Acidification for Air and Water.

For Global Warming, the insulation was the source of 26% of the overall materials impact, with the overall materials impact causing 30% (Temperate Oceanic, TO), 35% (Temperate Mediterranean, TM) and 15% (Cool Continental, CC) of the total impact. This finding indicates how the relative importance of the environmental impact of the building's fabric increases as the level of insulation rises and operational heating energy decreases.

The materials were responsible for 58 to 83% of the impacts in Ozone Depletion, Eutrophication, Photochemical Ozone Creation and Acidification for Air and Water.

As expected, in the coldest climate (CC), the impact of energy use was highest.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

Model 2: Stone wool insulation

Table 5 presents the characterised data for Model 2

	Characterised				
Model 2	Global Warming	Ozone Depletion	Eutrophication	Photochemical Ozone Creation	Acidification for Air and Water
	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg
Wall materials (stone & glass	8 730	0.0117	5 71	7.05	55 4
Stone wool insulation	2.130	0.000400	1.06	1.05	14.1
Extra roof (stone & glass wool insulation) = 3m ²	82	0.000189	0.109	0.219	1.98
Extra foundation (stone & glass wool insulation) = 3m	574	0.000325	0.351	0.254	2.56
roof materials	1,920	0.00332	1.90	4.26	44.2
Stone wool	2,680	0.000502	1.33	1.31	17.8
Ground floor materials	5,280	0.00230	2.98	2.14	23.1
PU (pentane)	763	0.0000484	0.65	1.24	4.48
Upper floor (timber I-joist with chipboard plus plasterboard ceiling below)	293	0.00133	1.25	1.63	22.5
Window (preservative treated, painted softwood, high quality, double glazed)	3,460	0.00670	3.57	20.60	30.2
Totals	T		r	l	
Total for non-insulation materials	20,339	0.0259	15.9	36.2	180
Total for insulation	5,573	0.000950	3.0	3.6	36.4
Grand total (materials + insulation)	25,912	0.0269	18.9	39.8	216
Energy					
Temperate Oceanic	61,200	0.00798	5.25	14.1	56.6
Temperate Mediterranean	50,000	0.00652	4.28	11.5	46.2
Cool Continental	145,000	0.0189	12.4	33.4	134

Table 5 Characterised data for Part 1, new build: whole house model with stone wool insulation

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Figure 8 Part 1 new build whole house model: stone wool insulation (normalised).

The results in Figure 8 again show that energy use had the greatest impact in Global Warming but that the fabric and insulation were the greatest source of Eutrophication, Photochemical Ozone Creation, Acidification for Air and Water and Ozone Depletion for all climate zones.

For Global Warming, the insulation accounted for 22% of the overall materials impact, with the overall materials impact contributing 30% (TO), 35% (TM) and 16% (CC) of the total impact. The materials were the source of 56 to 92% of the total impacts in the remaining four categories.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

Model 3: Glass wool insulation

Table 6 presents the characterised data for Model 3:

	Characterised				
Model 3	Global Warming	Ozone Depletion	Eutrophication	Photochemical Ozone Creation	Acidification for Air and Water
	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg
Wall materials (stone & glass	0.700	0.0447	5.74	7.05	55.4
	8,730	0.0117	5.71	7.05	55.4
Glass wool insulation	1,450	0.000264	0.947	1.05	5.85
Extra roof (stone & glass wool insulation) = 3m ²	82	0.000189	0.109	0.219	1.98
Extra foundation (stone &					
glass wool insulation) = 3m	574	0.000325	0.351	0.254	2.56
roof materials	1,920	0.00332	1.90	4.26	44.2
Glass wool	769	0.000140	0.502	0.557	3.10
Ground floor materials	5,280	0.00230	2.98	2.14	23.1
PU (pentane)	763	0.0000484	0.65	1.24	4.48
Upper floor (timber I-joist with chipboard plus plasterboard ceiling below)	293	0.00133	1.25	1.63	22.5
Window (preservative treated, painted softwood, high quality, double glazed)	3,460	0.00670	3.57	20.60	30.2
Total	-	-			
Total for non-insulation materials	20,339	0.0259	15.9	36.2	180
Total for insulation	2,982	0.000453	2.09	2.85	13.4
Grand total (materials + insulation)	23,321	0.0264	18.0	39.0	193
Energy					
Temperate Oceanic	61,200	0.00798	5.25	14.1	56.6
Temperate Mediterranean	50,000	0.00652	4.28	11.5	46.2
Cool Continental	145,000	0.0189	12.4	33.4	134

Table 6 Characterised data for Part 1, new build: whole house model with glass wool insulation

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Figure 9 Part 1, new build whole house model: glass wool insulation (normalised).

As for Models 1 and 2, the greatest amount of Global Warming was for the energy consumption in Model 3 for all climate zones. Again the total material impact was higher than the energy impact for Eutrophication, Photochemical Ozone Creation, Acidification for Air and Water and Ozone Depletion.

The insulation accounted for 13% of the total materials Global Warming impact. Overall materials were the source of 31% (TO), 36% (TM) and 16% (CC) total Global Warming impact. Materials were responsible for 57 to 96% of the total impact in the other four categories.

The following graphs present the characterised data for the three whole house models for each individual impact category.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Figure 10. Part 1. Whole house models - Global Warming, kg CO₂ eq. (100 years) (characterised).

For each climatic zone, the energy use modelled for all three insulation options is the same, as the elements have common U values. The characterised Global Warming results in Figure 10 comparing the three whole house models show that the non-insulation materials' impact was lowest for the PU house (Model 1) but the impact of the PU insulation was larger than for either stone wool of glass wool.

The results also show that the building's materials were responsible for around one third of the total Global Warming impact for the TO and TM climates.



Figure 11. Part 1. Whole house models - Ozone Depletion, kg CFC 11 eq. (characterised)

For each climatic zone, the energy use modelled for all three insulation options is the same, as the elements have common U values. The results in Figure 11 for Ozone Depletion show that both the insulation and the non-insulation material impacts for Model 1 (PU) were lower than those for stone wool or

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



glass wool. The combined materials impact was greater than that from energy use for all climate zones for all models.

Figure 12. Part 1. Whole house models – Eutrophication, PO₄ eq. (characterised)

For each climatic zone, the energy use modelled for all three insulation options is the same, as the elements have common U values. The results in Figure 12 for Eutrophication, as for Global Warming, show that the non-insulation material impact for Model 1 was lower than for Models 2 and 3 but the impact for the insulation was higher for Model 1 than either Model 2 or 3. The results also show that the overall materials impact was greater than that for energy use for all 3 climate zones.



Figure 13. Part 1. Whole house models – Photochemical Ozone Creation, kg C₂H₄ eq. (characterised)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

As for Global Warming and Eutrophication, the non-insulation material Photochemical Ozone Creation impacts for Model 1 were lower than those for Models 2 and 3. Also as for Eutrophication, the total material impacts were greater than those for energy for all 3 climate zones.



Figure 14. Part 1. Whole house models – Acidification for Air and Water, kg SO₂ eq. (characterised)

For each climatic zone, the energy use modelled for all three insulation options is the same, as the elements have common U values. Once more, the non-insulation material impacts of Model 1 were less than for Models 2 and 3 but the impact of the insulation in Model 1 was greater than for Models 2 and 3. As for Global Warming, Eutrophication and Photochemical Ozone Creation, the total materials impact was greater than that for energy for all 3 climate zones.

Figure 15 below compares the whole house models for all impact categories by expressing the results for each model relative to the maximum in each category.



Figure 15 Part 1. Whole house models - material impacts (characterised)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

The results in Figure 15 show that the PU design's materials had the greatest impact in Global Warming, Eutrophication & Ozone Depletion, the second highest in Photochemical Ozone Creation and the lowest in Ozone Depletion. The difference was typically more than 10% with the greatest differences in Photochemical Ozone Creation (maximum of 17%) and Eutrophication (maximum of 16%). The smallest difference was in Ozone Depletion (4%).

The results presented in Figures 7 to 9 indicate that Ozone Depletion was relatively small compared to background levels for all models.

Combined, these findings show that the overall performance of the whole house models was broadly similar but that the PU design's materials tended to have greater levels of impact.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



2.3 Part 2 Refurbishment: internal lining of existing external walls LCA

This assessment has been done on the basis of the materials needed to install the insulation on the inner face of the external wall; the impacts associated with the existing external wall fabric have not been included. The EPS and PU insulation and plasterboard were installed with plaster adhesive whereas the stone wool and glass wool were installed with timber battens, breather membrane and screws to attach the battens to the external wall and the plasterboard to the battens.

Appendix 2 describes the models used for each solution. Table 7 presents the characterised data for the internal lining assessments.

		Characterised				
Internal Lining (refurbishment)		Global Warming	Ozone Depletion	Eutrophication	Photochemical Ozone Creation	Acidification for Air and Water
		kg	kg	kg	kg	kg
Installation materi	als (PU & EPS)	1,730	0.00162	1.237	2.725	47.905
PU (pentane)		1,090	0.00007	0.895	1.699	6.432
EPS		948	0.00007	0.300	2.038	3.361
Installation materials (stone wool & glass wool)		1,060	0.00160	1.112	2.444	46.317
Stone wool		337	0.00006	0.167	0.165	2.235
Glass wool		323	0.00006	0.211	0.234	1.305
Totals		•				
Stone wool total (materials + insulation)		1,400	0.00166	1.28	2.61	48.6
Glass wool total (materials + insulation)		1,380	0.00166	1.32	2.68	47.6
PU (pentane) total (materials + insulation)		2,820	0.00170	2.13	4.42	54.3
EPS total (materials + insulation)		2,680	0.00170	1.54	4.76	51.3
Energy	PU	120,000	0.0156	10.3	27.6	111
Tomporato	Stone wool	135,000	0.0176	11.6	31.1	125
Oceanic	Glass wool	135,000	0.0176	11.6	31.1	125
	EPS	129,000	0.0168	11.1	29.8	119
Energy	PU	97,700	0.0127	8.37	22.5	90.4
T	Stone wool	110,000	0.0144	9.46	25.5	102
l emperate Mediterranean	Glass wool	110,000	0.0144	9.46	25.5	102
moundario	EPS	105,000	0.0138	9.04	24.3	97.6
Energy	PU	252,000	0.0329	21.6	58.2	234
	Stone wool	280,000	0.0365	24.0	64.6	259
Cool Continental	Glass wool	280,000	0.0365	24.0	64.6	259
Jonunental	EPS	269.000	0.0351	23.1	62.1	249

Table 7 Characterised data for Part 2 Refurbishment lining of external walls with glass wool, stone wool, EPS and PU insulation.

The graphs below present the data from Table 7 in graphical form for comparison purposes.

31 Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation
--



Figure 16 Part 2 Refurbishment of external walls with glass wool, stone wool, EPS and PU: materials only

The characterised results in Figure 16 show that, in terms of materials usage, the PU insulation solution had the greatest level of impact in the Global Warming, Eutrophication, Acidification for Air and Water, and Photochemical Ozone Creation impact categories. The PU design had the second highest impact in Ozone Depletion.

The graph below compares the impacts from the materials to those from the energy in the three climate zones.



BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	





Figure 17 Part 2, refurbishment of external walls with glass wool, stone wool, EPS and PU. Characterised data: a) Temperate Oceanic; b) Temperate Mediterranean, and c) Cool Continental.

The characterised results in Figure 17 a) to c) show that the PU internal lining gave the lowest total impacts of all the solutions in all climate zones.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

33	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation

For Global Warming, overall, the PU design was around 10% lower than either the stone wool or glass wool designs and at least 5% better than the EPS design.







Figure 18 Part 2, refurbishment of external walls with glass wool, stone wool, EPS and PU: a) Temperate Oceanic, b) Temperate Mediterranean, and c) Cool Continental

The normalised results presented in Figure 18 a) to c) indicate that the greatest impact for each of the internal lining options was Acidification for Air and Water. However, the insulation was responsible for only 3% (glass wool), 5% (stone wool), 7% (EPS) and 12% (PU) for this Acidification for Air and Water impact.

The insulations' contribution to Global Warming of the design was higher: 24% for stone wool; 23% for glass wool; 39% for PU and 35% for EPS. The insulations were the source of 4% of the total material Ozone Depletion. For Eutrophication impacts, the PU was the source of 42% of the total material impact and the EPS 20%; stone wool and glass wool were responsible for around 15% of the total. For Photochemical Ozone Creation, the PU and EPS insulations were the cause of around 40% of the total material impacts, whereas the stone wool and glass wool were responsible for less than 10% of the total material impacts.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



2.4 Part 3 New warm deck flat roof LCA

Appendix 2 describes the design solutions adopted to achieve the target U-values. Energy has not been modelled as it is assumed to be consistent for all three solutions.

	Characterised				
Flat roof	Global Warming	Ozone Depletion	Eutrophication	Photochemical Ozone Creation	Acidification for Air and Water
	кд	кд	ĸg	кд	кд
Flat roof materials	-310	0.00313	1.28	2.31	24.3
PU (pentane)	1,450	0.0000896	1.24	2.40	8.49
Stone wool	2,980	0.000560	1.48	1.46	19.8
EPS	2,310	0.000178	0.731	4.96	8.19
Totals					
Stone wool total (materials + insulation)	2,670	0.00369	2.76	3.78	44.1
PU (pentane) total (materials + insulation)	1,140	0.00322	2.52	4.71	32.8
EPS total (materials + insulation)	2,000	0.00331	2.01	7.28	32.5

The characterised data for these flat roofs are presented in Table 8.

Table 8 Characterised data for Part 3, warm deck flat roof with stone wool, EPS and PU insulation.

The following graph summarises the characterised data set out in Table 8.



Figure 19. Part 3, warm deck flat roof with stone wool, EPS and PU insulation (characterised).

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	
Figure 19 shows that the PU option was never the highest level of impact for any category; it was the lowest for Global Warming and Ozone Depletion. The PU option was second lowest for Eutrophication, Photochemical Ozone Creation and Acidification for Air and Water.

From Table 8, it can be seen that the flat roof materials achieved an overall negative (i.e. beneficial) Global Warming due to the carbon sequestered in the structure's timber (joists and decking). Consequently, the insulation materials were solely responsible for the damaging Global Warming impacts. The insulations were responsible for 3 to 15% of the overall impacts for Ozone Depletion, and between 25 and 68% of the total Eutrophication, Photochemical Ozone Creation and Acidification for Air and Water.

Part 3. Flat Roof. Materials and insulation. Normalised data EPS total (materials + insulation) PU (pentane) total (materials + insulation) Stone wool total (materials + insulation) 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 Global Warming Ozone Depletion Eutrophication Photochemical Ozone Creation Acidification for Air and Water

The graph below shows the normalised impacts of the roofing materials and the insulations in each design.

Figure 20 Part 3, warm deck flat roof with stone wool, EPS and PU insulation (normalised).

The normalised data in Figure 20 shows that the Acidification for Air and Water impact category was the largest for all of the solutions, followed by Photochemical Ozone Creation for the PU and EPS, and Global Warming for the stone wool. Ozone Depletion was the smallest relative impact for all solutions.

Comments on sensitivity of assumptions

The results presented here are influenced by the assumptions and decisions made during the analysis. The key assumptions that are likely to affect the findings are:

- Polyurethane (PU) insulation is pentane-blown HFC-blown PU would have much greater environmental impacts;
- The modelled constructions are common and relevant for all climate zones studied;
- Energy source is natural gas;





3/ Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation
--

- Space heating only is modelled; other energy use in the house, for lighting, domestic hot water and other electrical demand is not assumed to alter depending on location or insulation type;
- U-values for modelled new build elements are lower than for English building regulations (i.e. an improvement) meaning that the modelled designs use less energy than would be the case for current UK practice. This results in materials having an increased relative importance in overall building impact. Conversely, for the refurbishment assessment, the U-values of the walls are, with the exception of the PU, much lower than required by English building regulations for refurbishment for change of use (i.e. a deterioration) resulting in greater energy use than would be expected;
- PU insulation is disposed of 90% to landfill and 10% to incineration, based on UK practice. If PU was predominantly incinerated, it would have higher climate change impacts and lower POCP impacts, and slightly lower acidification impacts from disposal.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



3 Identification of cost impacts

The purpose of this section of the report is to present the findings of the life cycle cost study undertaken. It provides a brief introduction to life cycle costing, and details the methodology adopted for the study. The results of the study are presented in both graphical and tabular format for ease of interpretation.

3.1 Introduction to LCC

Life cycle costing is a technique to establish the total cost of ownership. It is a structured approach which addresses all the elements of this cost and can be used to produce a spend profile of the asset over its anticipated life-span. The results of life cycle cost analysis can be used to assist in the decision-making process when there is a choice of product and provides the opportunity to optimise the allocation of benefits and costs to achieve "best value".

For convenience these costs are usually considered under three headings

- Initial Cost
- Operational Costs
- Disposal Costs if applicable

Definition of Life Cycle Costs (LCC)

A life cycle cost is defined in ISO 15686 Part 5^7 as follows:

• Cost of an asset or its parts throughout its life cycle, while fulfilling the performance requirements

The use of life cycle costing presents a powerful tool to deliver economic sustainability within the construction industry and encourages the use of "Best Value" design solutions.

Life cycle cost modelling provides a *"rationale for choice in circumstances where there are alternative means of achieving a given object and where those alternatives differ not only in their initial costs, but also in subsequent operational costs"* (Seeley, 1996). The purpose of life cycle costing is to improve decisions regarding capital investment and the related future costs required to operate a built asset. It is a tool that can be used by various practitioners to aid the investment decision making process. Life cycle costing techniques aim to optimise decisions on designs, specifications for independent and interdependent building systems so as to ensure initial capital and through life expenditures, which often absorb large amounts of cash, are optimised.

Financial criteria

The LCC analysis requires that year on year cash flows are discounted to reflect the time value of money. The year on year cash flows (periodic money streams that are expected to continue in the future) are discounted to account for the fact that these monies will be worth less in the future than they are today. When the monies are discounted they are expressed as present values.

⁷ ISO 15686-5 2008 Buildings and constructed assets - Service-life planning -Part 5: Life-cycle costing

In order to compute present values, it is necessary to discount future costs (and hence benefits). Discounting reflects the time value of money. As a result of discounting, benefits and costs are worth more if they are experienced sooner. The higher the discount rate, the lower is the present value of future cash flows.

X/(1+r) ⁿ
When
X= input value
<i>r</i> = rate of interest or discount rate
<i>n</i> = <i>number</i> of years

Figure 21 Discount equation to allow for the time value of money (HM Treasury 1997)

Assumptions and other considerations

We have identified repair and maintenance activities for each specification, and identified a cost to carry out the task. This cost data has utilised the BMI Building Maintenance Price Book (2009 edition)⁸, Spons or other price books, manufacturers and installers information and BRE data.

During the 50 year study period, maintenance operations for the elements include internal redecoration, brickwork repointing and replacing single ply roof finish to the flat roof. Costs also have allowances for associated waste, labour, overheads and profit.

Estimated service life

The estimated service lives are taken from BLP Component Life Manual (CLM), which attaches 'insured lives' on an extensive list of components. It is important to note that the Component Life Manual⁹ has a range of insured lives for each component. Generally, the service life of a component can be assumed to be the insured life + 20%. In this study we have referenced the insured life that meets the relevant British Standard, meets our maintenance assumptions, and is either the highest or second highest service life prediction. The publication also highlights the factors that may affect their deterioration or failure. We have compared these with the expected lives as published by the Building Cost Information Service (BCIS) of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors. This publication presents a survey of the life expectancies of common building components. For the purposes of this exercise, we have used the data from the CLM as it appears to provide a realistic average of all the sources available and indicates service lives for all components in the situations in which they are used in excess of 50 years, which is the limit of this study. The exceptions to this are the single ply flat roof membrane and decorations to the plaster or plasterboard finish.

⁸ BCIS, BMI Building Maintenance Price Book: 2009 Edition, Building Cost Information Service

⁹ Building Life Plans Component Life Manual available on line by subscription.



Discount rates used

A discount rate of 3.5%, in real terms, reflects the cost to taxpayers of a loan and is the current discount rate used by the UK Treasury.

The Life Cycle Costs study

The LCC study has been a non-test based assessment on the durability of the building components, based on BRE's own assumptions, and supported by published material where possible.

Cost items considered

The LCC study considers those costs in-use (capital costs of components + installation costs + cost of replacement parts and their installation + maintenance costs) identified by BRE.

The specification for the elements and the cost of all components are intended to represent the typical cost incurred by building owners. The values in the assessment are based on those required for a two storey detached property with a gross internal floor area of $104m^2$.

Setting the study period

The study period is the time over which the LCC model is considered. It should be sufficiently long to ensure that a correct assessment of the long-run economic performance can be made. The study period chosen for this assessment is 50 years, which is considered a long enough time scale to assess the long term economic benefits that may be achieved. The 50 year study period does not assume that the building will be demolished at that point in time but will continue in use.

Capital replacements

If the service life of an element is less than the LCC study period a replacement of this item is necessary. The model assumes planned replacements of component parts when the life expectancy is less than the LCC study period.

Variation in discount rate

The discount rate has been varied to assess the impact on the results of different values. The results of the LCC analysis have been presented for discount rates of 0% and 5% as well as at the base case of 3.5% to show the LCC of the products should the cost of future money flows be different to that assumed. The following graph demonstrates the effect of applying different discount rates on the forecast of a typical future expenditure.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Figure 22 Cumulative effect of different discount rates for PU insulation in cavity wall for Temperate Oceanic climate zone

Initial capital costs

We have undertaken a review of published prices for the various component specifications. We have also used data obtained from Spons Architects and Builders Price book and other published price book data. Figures are based upon costs prevailing in September 2009.

Cost basis

All costs are based on rates current in September 2009 and include general preliminaries, profit and overheads but exclude fees and VAT.

All tables and graphs show the cumulative costs over the study period.

Energy Costs

In order to be able to make a direct comparison of energy costs for all 3 climatic regions, natural gas prices in the UK have been used in order to provide consistency.

Current prices of natural gas in the UK as charged by British Gas at September 2009, are as follows:

Tier 1 (first 2680 kwh/pa) = 7.206p / kwh

Tier 2 (all subsequent kwh/pa) = 3.457p / kwh

These prices have been used throughout each climate zone.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



3.2 Part 1 New build LCC

LCC New cavity wall

The new cavity wall includes half brick thick (102.5mm) outer skin of facing bricks, inner skin of 100mm thick dense blocks, 13mm lightweight plaster with 2 coats of emulsion paint. Cavity insulation materials and thicknesses vary as required in order to achieve the target U value.

In order to maintain a consistent internal floor area, different cavity insulation thicknesses that are required to achieve a common U value of 0.15 W/m² K will mean that the overall thickness of external wall varies and the quantity of brickwork in the outer skin will increase. This increase in material has been allowed for in the various life cycle cost analyses for each insulation type. Also, thicker external walls will mean that the total roof and foundation areas will increase and costs relating to this increase are included in each wall insulation calculation. For example, a wall with 270mm cavity insulation means that the property will have an additional 8.00sq m of roof area including soffit overhang and 7.00sq m additional foundation area when compared to a property with a 50mm cavity

Energy costs are included in this section.

Insulation materials for the different scenarios included in this section are as follows:

180mm thick polyurethane; density 32kg/m³; k-value of 0.023

270mm thick stone wool; density 39kg/m³; k-value of 0.037

270mm thick glass wool; density 17kg/m³; k-value of 0.037

The following life cycle costs have been included - internal redecorations (5 years), replace rainwater goods and fascias and repoint brickwork (30 years) and annual energy consumed in each climatic region. (For energy calculations, see Appendix A).

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

13	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation
----	---



Part 1 New Cavity Wall: Temperate Oceanic											
Temp Ocean year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount % 0%											
180mm Polyurethane insulation	25,211	26,515	28,493	30,470	32,448	34,426	39,725	42,873	44,850	46,828	48,806
270mm Stone wool insulation	26,636	27,940	29,918	31,895	33,873	35,850	41,238	44,416	46,393	48,371	50,348
270mm Glass wool insulation	26,567	27,871	29,849	31,826	33,804	35,781	41,169	44,346	46,324	48,302	50,279
Temp Ocean year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount % 3.5%											
180mm Polyurethane insulation	25,211	26,389	27,928	29,224	30,316	31,234	33,192	34,246	34,794	35,256	35,645
270mm Stone wool insulation	26,636	27,814	29,353	30,649	31,740	32,659	34,648	35,712	36,261	36,722	37,111
270mm Glass wool insulation	26,567	27,744	29,284	30,580	31,671	32,590	34,579	35,643	36,192	36,653	37,042
Temp Ocean year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount % 5%											
180mm Polyurethane insulation	25,211	26,340	27,728	28,815	29,666	30,334	31,625	32,293	32,614	32,865	33,062
270mm Stone wool insulation	26,636	27,765	29,152	30,239	31,091	31,758	33,070	33,744	34,065	34,317	34,514
270mm Glass wool insulation	26,567	27,696	29,083	30,170	31,022	31,689	33,001	33,675	33,996	34,248	34,445

Table 9 LCC new cavity wall: Temperate Mediterranean



Figure 23 LCC new Cavity wall: Temperate Oceanic

180mm polyurethane insulation used in the cavity has the lowest life cycle cost in the Temporary Oceanic climate zone, followed by 270mm glass wool and 270mm stone wool.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

Life cycle chynoninental and economical analysis of polydrethane msdiation	44	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation	
--	----	---	--

Part 1 New Cavity Wall: Temperate Mediterranean												
-												
Temp. Med.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	0%											
180mm Polyure	ethane insulation	25,211	26,368	28,199	30,029	31,860	33,690	38,843	41,843	43,674	45,504	47,335
270mm Stone	wool insulation	26,636	27,793	29,623	31,454	33,284	35,115	40,355	43,386	45,216	47,047	48,877
270mm Glass v	wool insulation	26,567	27,724	29,554	31,385	33,215	35,046	40,286	43,317	45,147	46,978	48,808
Temp. Med.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	3.5%											
180mm Polyure	ethane insulation	25,211	26,256	27,683	28,885	29,897	30,750	32,650	33,657	34,166	34,594	34,955
270mm Stone	wool insulation	26,636	27,681	29,108	30,310	31,322	32,174	34,107	35,124	35,632	36,061	36,421
270mm Glass v	wool insulation	26,567	27,612	29,039	30,241	31,253	32,105	34,038	35,055	35,563	35,992	36,352
Temp. Med.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	5%											
180mm Polyure	ethane insulation	25,211	26,213	27,500	28,509	29,300	29,919	31,173	31,811	32,109	32,342	32,525
270mm Stone	wool insulation	26,636	27,638	28,925	29,934	30,725	31,344	32,618	33,263	33,561	33,794	33,977
270mm Glass v	wool insulation	26,567	27,569	28,856	29,865	30,655	31,275	32,549	33,194	33,492	33,725	33,908

Table 10 LCC new cavity wall: Temperate Mediterranean



Figure 24 LCC new cavity wall: Temperate Mediterranean

180mm polyurethane insulation used in the cavity has the lowest life cycle cost in the Temperate Mediterranean climate zone, followed by 270mm glass wool and 270mm stone wool.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

45	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation
----	---

Part 1 New Cavity Wall: Cool Continental												
Cool Cont.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	0%											
180mm Polyure	thane insulation	25,211	27,612	30,687	33,762	36,837	39,912	46,309	50,554	53,628	56,703	59,778
270mm Stone v	vool insulation	26,636	29,037	32,112	35,187	38,262	41,337	47,822	52,096	55,171	58,246	61,321
270mm Glass v	vool insulation	26,567	28,968	32,043	35,118	38,193	41,268	47,752	52,027	55,102	58,177	61,252
Cool Cont.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	3.5%											
180mm Polyure	thane insulation	25,211	27,380	29,753	31,752	33,434	34,851	37,228	38,635	39,481	40,193	40,792
270mm Stone v	vool insulation	26,636	28,804	31,178	33,177	34,859	36,276	38,684	40,101	40,947	41,659	42,259
270mm Glass v	vool insulation	26,567	28,735	31,109	33,107	34,790	36,207	38,615	40,032	40,878	41,590	42,189
Cool Cont.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	5%											
180mm Polyure	thane insulation	25,211	27,290	29,422	31,092	32,401	33,427	34,999	35,886	36,379	36,766	37,068
270mm Stone v	vool insulation	26,636	28,715	30,847	32,517	33,826	34,851	36,444	37,338	37,831	38,217	38,520
270mm Glass v	vool insulation	26,567	28,646	30,778	32,448	33,757	34,782	36,375	37,269	37,762	38,148	38,451

Table 11 LCC new cavity wall: Cool Continental



Figure 25 LCC new cavity wall: Cool Continental.

180mm polyurethane insulation used in the cavity has the lowest life cycle cost in the Cool Continental climate zone, followed by 270mm glass wool and 270mm stone wool.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

LCC New pitched roof

The new pitched roof includes a softwood attic trussed rafter 45degree pitched roof with concrete interlocking tiles, underfelt, battens, eaves and ridges, plasterboard ceiling with 2 coats of emulsion paint. Insulation materials and thicknesses vary as required in order to achieve the target U value. Standard external walls with 50mm cavity have been assumed for all insulation types.

Insulation materials for the different scenarios included in this section are as follows:

190mm thick polyurethane comprising 100mm between the rafters and 90mm across the rafters; density 32kg/m³; k-value of 0.023

310mm thick stone wool comprising 220mm between the rafters and 90mm across the rafters; density 45kg/m³ between the rafters and 145kg/m³ across the rafters; k-value of 0.038

300mm thick glass wool fixed between the rafters and battens; density 17kg/m³; k-value of 0.032

The following life cycle costs have been included - internal redecorations (5 years).

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

47 Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation
--



Part 1 New Pite	ched Roof Slopes: To	emperate O	ceanic									
Temp Ocean	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	0%											
190mm Polyure	ethane insulation	12,865	12,865	13,137	13,409	13,681	13,953	14,224	14,496	14,768	15,040	15,312
310mm Stone v	wool insulation	16,324	16,324	16,596	16,868	17,140	17,412	17,684	17,956	18,228	18,500	18,772
300mm Glass v	wool insulation	16,154	16,154	16,426	16,698	16,970	17,242	17,514	17,786	18,058	18,330	18,602
Temp Ocean	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	3.5%											
190mm Polyure	ethane insulation	12,865	12,865	13,086	13,272	13,429	13,561	13,672	13,766	13,845	13,911	13,967
310mm Stone v	wool insulation	16,324	16,324	16,546	16,732	16,889	17,021	17,132	17,226	17,304	17,371	17,427
300mm Glass v	wool insulation	16,154	16,154	16,376	16,562	16,719	16,851	16,962	17,056	17,135	17,201	17,257
Temp Ocean	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	5%											
190mm Polyure	ethane insulation	12,865	12,865	13,068	13,227	13,351	13,449	13,525	13,585	13,632	13,669	13,698
310mm Stone v	wool insulation	16,324	16,324	16,527	16,686	16,811	16,908	16,985	17,045	17,092	17,129	17,157
300mm Glass v	wool insulation	16,154	16,154	16,357	16,516	16,641	16,739	16,815	16,875	16,922	16,959	16,988

Table12 LCC pitched new roof: Temperate Oceanic



Figure 26 LCC new pitched roof: Temperate Oceanic.

Conclusion

190mm polyurethane has the lowest life cycle cost in the Temperate Oceanic climate zone, followed by 300mm glass wool insulation and 310mm stone wool.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

48	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation	

Part 1 New Pitched Roof Slopes: Temperate Mediterranean												
Temp. Med.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	0%											
190mm Polyur	ethane insulation	12,865	12,865	13,137	13,409	13,681	13,953	14,224	14,496	14,768	15,040	15,312
310mm Stone	wool insulation	16,324	16,324	16,596	16,868	17,140	17,412	17,684	17,956	18,228	18,500	18,772
300mm Glass	wool insulation	16,154	16,154	16,426	16,698	16,970	17,242	17,514	17,786	18,058	18,330	18,602
Temp. Med.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	3.5%											
190mm Polyur	ethane insulation	12,865	12,865	13,086	13,272	13,429	13,561	13,672	13,766	13,845	13,911	13,967
310mm Stone	wool insulation	16,324	16,324	16,546	16,732	16,889	17,021	17,132	17,226	17,304	17,371	17,427
300mm Glass	wool insulation	16,154	16,154	16,376	16,562	16,719	16,851	16,962	17,056	17,135	17,201	17,257
Temp. Med.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	5%											
190mm Polyur	ethane insulation	12,865	12,865	13,068	13,227	13,351	13,449	13,525	13,585	13,632	13,669	13,698
310mm Stone	wool insulation	16,324	16,324	16,527	16,686	16,811	16,908	16,985	17,045	17,092	17,129	17,157
300mm Glass	wool insulation	16,154	16,154	16,357	16,516	16,641	16,739	16,815	16,875	16,922	16,959	16,988

Table 13 LCC new pitched roof: Temperate Mediterranean



Figure 27 LCC new pitched roof: Temperate Mediterranean.

190mm polyurethane has the lowest life cycle cost in the Temperate Mediterranean climate zone, followed by 300mm glass wool insulation and 310mm stone wool.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polydretnane insulation	49	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation
---	----	---

50	

Part 1 New Pi	Part 1 New Pitched Roof Slopes: Cool Continental											
Cool Cont.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	0%											
190mm Polyu	rethane insulation	12,865	12,865	13,137	13,409	13,681	13,953	14,224	14,496	14,768	15,040	15,312
310mm Stone	wool insulation	16,332	16,332	16,604	16,876	17,148	17,420	17,692	17,964	18,236	18,508	18,779
300mm Glass	wool insulation	16,154	16,154	16,426	16,698	16,970	17,242	17,514	17,786	18,058	18,330	18,602
Cool Cont.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	3.5%											
190mm Polyu	rethane insulation	12,865	12,865	13,086	13,272	13,429	13,561	13,672	13,766	13,845	13,911	13,967
310mm Stone	wool insulation	16,332	16,332	16,553	16,739	16,896	17,028	17,139	17,233	17,312	17,378	17,434
300mm Glass	wool insulation	16,154	16,154	16,376	16,562	16,719	16,851	16,962	17,056	17,135	17,201	17,257
Cool Cont.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	5%											
190mm Polyu	rethane insulation	12,865	12,865	13,068	13,227	13,351	13,449	13,525	13,585	13,632	13,669	13,698
310mm Stone	wool insulation	16,332	16,332	16,535	16,694	16,818	16,916	16,992	17,052	17,099	17,136	17,165
300mm Glass	wool insulation	16,154	16,154	16,357	16,516	16,641	16,739	16,815	16,875	16,922	16,959	16,988

Table 14 LCC new pitched roof: Cool Continental



Figure 28 LCC new pitched roof: Cool Continental.

190mm polyurethane has the lowest life cycle cost in the Cool Continental climate zone, followed by 300mm glass wool insulation and 310mm stone wool.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

LCC New ground floor

The new floor construction includes 200mm thick reinforced concrete ground slab and 50mm reinforced screed. Insulation materials and thicknesses vary as required. Standard external walls with 50mm cavity have been assumed for all insulation types.

Insulation materials for the different scenarios included in this section are as follows:

95mm thick polyurethane; density 32kg/m³; k-value of 0.023

185mm thick expanded polystyrene; density 18.5kg/m³; k-value of 0.030

Because no maintenance or replacement is anticipated during the study period, no life cycle costs are included. The determining cost is the initial installation cost.

Part 1 New Ground Floor: Temperate Oceanic												
Temp Ocean	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	0%											
95mm Polyuretha	ne insulation	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448
185mm Expanded	Polystyrene insulati	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921
Temp Ocean	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	3.5%											
95mm Polyuretha	ne insulation	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448
185mm Expanded	Polystyrene insulati	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921
Temp Ocean	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	5%											
95mm Polyurethane insulation		6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448
185mm Expanded	d Polystyrene insulati	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921

Table 15 LCC new ground floor: Temperate Oceanic





Conclusion

95mm polyurethane insulation has the lower life cycle cost in the Temperate Oceanic climate zone, followed by 185mm expanded polystyrene.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

51	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation
----	---



Part 1 New Gro	Part 1 New Ground Floor: Temperate Mediterranean											
Temp. Med. Discount %	year 0%	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
95mm Polyuret	nane insulation	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448
185mm Expand	led Polystyrene insula	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921
Temp. Med. Discount %	year 3.5%	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
95mm Polyuret	hane insulation	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448
185mm Expand	ed Polystyrene insula	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921
Temp. Med.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	5%	(
95mm Polyuret	hane insulation	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448
185mm Expanded Polystyrene insula		6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921

Table 16 LCC new ground floor: Temperate Mediterranean



Figure 30 LCC new ground floor: Temperate Mediterranean.

95mm polyurethane insulation has the lower life cycle cost in the Temperate Mediterranean climate zone, followed by 185mm expanded polystyrene

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

52 Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation
--



Part 1 New Ground Floor: Cool Continental												
Cool Cont. Discount %	year 0%	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
95mm Polyure	thane insulation	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448
185mm Expan	ded Polystyrene insu	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921
Cool Cont. Discount %	year 3.5%	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
95mm Polyure	thane insulation	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448
185mm Expan	nded Polystyrene insu	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921
Cool Cont. Discount %	year 5%	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
95mm Polyure	thane insulation	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448	6,448
185mm Expan	nded Polystyrene insu	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921	6,921

Table 17 LCC new ground floor: Cool Continental





95mm polyurethane insulation has the lower life cycle cost in the Cool Continental climate zone, followed by 185mm expanded polystyrene.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



3.2.1 Affect on building footprint

The new cavity wall – different thicknesses of wall insulation lead to different quantities of materials for the wall and hence higher construction costs. An additional $cost/m^2$ for this is included with the new wall calculations.

The pitched roof assumes a standard size of external wall for all insulation options.

The ground floor assumes a standard size of external wall for all insulation options.

One effect of the new cavity wall not shown in the tables is the additional footprint area required for roof and floor. On a large building site this may affect the density or number of properties that could be built on the site, e.g. in the worst case, 8.00m² extra on the roof area for each property may mean that only 9 properties could be fitted in an area that may be able to accommodate 10 if the external walls were thinner and the roof not extending over such a large area.

Coupled with this, but not included in the calculations is the possible value of the land that is unable to be utilised. Although prices vary considerably, a realistic cost of land with planning permission in an urban area in the United Kingdom, for example, is £250/m2. Related to the 8.00m2 area noted above, this would equate to £2,000 capital outlay on which there would be no return in the form of property.

In Part 1 New roof, the gross internal ground floor area is 52m², but the external plan area of the pitched roof is 75m² which includes for roof over the external walls with 450mm soffit overhang.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



3.3 Part 2 Refurbishment: external walls LCC

LCC refurbished wall

In Part 2, an existing building is assumed with uninsulated external walls. The project requires insulation to be added, but in order to maintain internal floor areas; a restriction is imposed to allow insulation to a maximum of 50mm thick plus plasterboard finish. Materials modelled are polyurethane, stone wool, glass wool or expanded polystyrene.

Insulation is required to be added to the internal face of an existing property and it is assumed that the wall comprises half brick thick outer skin of facing bricks, 50mm thick cavity, inner skin of 100mm thick dense blocks, 12.5mm plasterboard with 2 coats of emulsion paint. 50m thick insulation is either fixed directly to the blockwork with adhesive or between softwood framework as appropriate.

Energy costs are included in this section.

Insulation materials for the different scenarios included in this section are as follows:

50mm thick polyurethane fixed to block wall with adhesive; density 32kg/m³; k-value of 0.023

50mm thick stone wool fixed between timber studs; density 39kg/m³; k-value of 0.037

50mm thick glass wool fixed between timber studs; density 24kg/m³; k-value of 0.035

50mm thick expanded polystyrene fixed to block wall with adhesive; density 30kg/m³; k-value of 0.034

The following life cycle costs have been included - internal redecorations (5 years), rainwater goods, renew fascias and repoint brickwork (30 years) and annual energy consumed in each location. (For energy calculations, see Appendix A)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

55 Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation



Part 2 Internal Li	hing to Existing wa	iii: Tempera	te Oceai	าเต								
Temp Ocean	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	0%											
50mm Polyuretha	ne insulation	4,070	6,141	8,876	11,610	14,345	17,079	23,004	25,739	28,473	31,208	33,943
50mm Stone woo	insulation	5,276	7,547	10,483	13,418	16,353	19,288	25,413	28,348	31,283	34,218	37,154
50mm Glass wool	insulation	5,264	7,535	10,470	13,406	16,341	19,276	24,947	27,882	30,817	33,752	36,687
50mm Expanded	Polystyrene insulatio	4,454	6,648	9,506	12,363	15,220	18,078	24,125	26,543	29,401	32,258	35,116
Temp Ocean	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	3.5%											
50mm Polyuretha	ne insulation	4,070	5,940	8,054	9,835	11,334	12,596	14,795	15,690	16,443	17,077	17,611
50mm Stone woo	l insulation	5,276	7,327	9,594	11,503	13,110	14,463	16,739	17,698	18,505	19,185	19,758
50mm Glass wool	insulation	5,264	7,315	9,582	11,491	13,098	14,451	16,565	17,524	18,331	19,011	19,584
50mm Expanded	Polystyrene insulatio	4,454	6,435	8,643	10,502	12,067	13,385	15,631	16,434	17,220	17,882	18,440
Temp Ocean	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	5%											
50mm Polyuretha	ne insulation	4,070	5,863	7,763	9,252	10,419	11,333	12,787	13,348	13,788	14,133	14,402
50mm Stone woo	insulation	5,276	7,243	9,279	10,875	12,125	13,104	14,610	15,211	15,682	16,051	16,341
50mm Glass wool	insulation	5,264	7,231	9,267	10,862	12,113	13,092	14,492	15,094	15,565	15,934	16,223
50mm Expanded	Polystyrene insulatio	4,454	6,354	8,337	9,892	11,109	12,063	13,549	14,055	14,514	14,874	15,156

Table 18 LCC existing wall: Temperate Oceanic



Figure 32 LCC existing wall: Temperate Oceanic.

Conclusion

Polyurethane insulation has the lowest life cycle cost, followed by expanded polystyrene, glass wool and stone wool in the Temperate Oceanic climate zone.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

56	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation
----	---

Part 2 Internal	Lining to Existing W	all: Temper	ate Med	literranea	an							
Temp. Med.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	0%											
50mm Polyuret	hane insulation	4,070	5,852	8,298	10,744	13,190	15,636	21,272	23,718	26,164	28,610	31,056
50mm Stone we	ool insulation	5,276	7,225	9,837	12,450	15,063	17,675	23,478	26,090	28,703	31,316	33,928
50mm Glass wo	ool insulation	5,264	7,213	9,825	12,438	15,051	17,663	23,076	25,689	28,301	30,914	33,526
50mm Expande	ed Polystyrene insulat	4,454	6,339	8,887	11,435	13,983	16,531	22,269	24,440	26,988	29,535	32,083
Temp. Med.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	3.5%											
50mm Polyuret	hane insulation	4,070	5,679	7,574	9,170	10,513	11,644	13,733	14,535	15,210	15,779	16,257
50mm Stone we	ool insulation	5,276	7,036	9,057	10,760	12,193	13,400	15,552	16,408	17,128	17,734	18,245
50mm Glass wo	ool insulation	5,264	7,024	9,045	10,748	12,181	13,388	15,401	16,257	16,977	17,583	18,094
50mm Expande	ed Polystyrene insulat	4,454	6,156	8,129	9,789	11,188	12,365	14,493	15,214	15,917	16,509	17,007
-												
Temp. Med.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	5%											
50mm Polyuret	hane insulation	4,070	5,613	7,318	8,653	9,699	10,519	11,900	12,403	12,797	13,106	13,349
50mm Stone we	ool insulation	5,276	6,963	8,781	10,205	11,321	12,195	13,618	14,155	14,575	14,905	15,163
50mm Glass wo	ool insulation	5,264	6,951	8,769	10,193	11,309	12,183	13,516	14,052	14,473	14,802	15,061
50mm Expande	ed Polystyrene insulat	4,454	6,086	7,860	9,249	10,338	11,191	12,598	13,053	13,464	13,785	14,037

Table 19 LCC existing wall: Temperate Mediterranean



Figure 33 LCC existing wall: Temperate Mediterranean.

Conclusion

Polyurethane insulation has the lowest life cycle cost, followed by expanded polystyrene, glass wool and stone wool, when used in Temperate Mediterranean

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

57	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation



Part 2 Internal Lining to Existing Wall: Cool Continental												
Cool Cont.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	5
Discount %	0%											
50mm Polyure	thane insulation	4,070	7,881	12,355	16,830	21,304	25,779	33,443	37,918	42,393	46,867	51,34
50mm Stone w	wool insulation	5,276	9,448	14,284	19,120	23,955	28,791	36,817	41,653	46,489	51,324	56,16
50mm Glass v	vool insulation	5,264	9,436	14,272	19,108	23,943	28,779	35,970	40,806	45,642	50,478	55,31
50mm Expand	ded Polystyrene insula	4,454	8,487	13,182	17,878	22,574	27,270	35,156	39,045	43,741	48,436	53,13
Cool Cont.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	5
Discount %	3.5%											
50mm Polyure	thane insulation	4,070	7,511	10,948	13,843	16,279	18,331	21,195	22,650	23,874	24,905	25,77
50mm Stone w	wool insulation	5,276	9,043	12,755	15,881	18,512	20,728	23,730	25,301	26,623	27,737	28,67
50mm Glass v	vool insulation	5,264	9,031	12,743	15,869	18,500	20,716	23,421	24,991	26,314	27,427	28,36
50mm Expand	ed Polystyrene insula	4,454	8,096	11,701	14,737	17,293	19,445	22,394	23,677	24,962	26,043	26,95
Cool Cont.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	5
Discount %	5%											
50mm Polyure	thane insulation	4,070	7,370	10,450	12,864	14,756	16,237	18,137	19,046	19,759	20,318	20,75
50mm Stone w	wool insulation	5,276	8,889	12,214	14,820	16,862	18,462	20,453	21,435	22,205	22,808	23,28
50mm Glass v	vool insulation	5,264	8,876	12,202	14,808	16,850	18,450	20,248	21,230	22,000	22,603	23,07
50mm Expand	ed Polystyrene insul	4,454	7,946	11,177	13,708	15,692	17,246	19,201	20,009	20,757	21,342	21,80

Table 20 LCC existing wall Cool Continental



Figure 34 LCC existing wall Cool Continental.

Polyurethane insulation has the lowest life cycle cost, followed by expanded polystyrene, glass wool and stone wool in the Cool Continental climate zone.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



3.4 Part 3 New warm deck flat roof LCC

LCC Flat roofing

In Part 3, a flat roof is considered and models the effect of polyurethane, stone wool and expanded polystyrene. The flat roof structure comprises 100mm x 50mm softwood joists, plates and strutting, 19mm OSB tongue and grooved boarding, vapour barrier and single ply membrane, plasterboard ceiling with 2 coats of emulsion paint. Insulation materials and thicknesses vary as required.

Energy costs are included in this section.

Insulation materials for the different scenarios included in this section are as follows:

150mm thick polyurethane; density 32kg/m³; k-value of 0.023

255mm thick stone wool; density 130kg/m³; k-value of 0.038

255mm thick expanded polystyrene; density 30kg/m3; k-value of 0.034

Life cycle costs allow for renewing the roof covering (25 years), redecorating the ceiling (5 years) and annual energy consumed in each location. (For energy calculations, see Appendix A).

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

59 Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation



Part 3 Flat Roof Insulation: Temperate Oceanic											
Temp Ocean year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount % 0%											
150mm Polyurethane insulation	9,999	11,273	12,819	14,365	15,911	17,457	21,051	22,598	24,144	25,690	27,236
255mm Stone wool insulation	10,897	12,171	13,718	15,264	16,810	18,356	21,950	23,496	25,042	26,588	28,134
255mm Expande <mark>d Polystyrene insula</mark>	10,941	12,216	13,762	15,308	16,854	18,400	21,739	23,285	24,832	26,378	27,924
Temp Ocean year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount % 3.5%											
150mm Polyurethane insulation	9,999	11,149	12,339	13,341	14,185	14,895	16,330	16,834	17,258	17,615	17,916
255mm Stone wool insulation	10,897	12,048	13,238	14,240	15,083	15,794	17,229	17,733	18,157	18,514	18,814
255mm Expande <mark>d Polystyrene insula</mark>	10,941	12,092	13,282	14,284	15,128	15,838	17,182	17,686	18,110	18,467	18,767
Temp Ocean year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount % 5%											
150mm Polyurethane insulation	9,999	11,102	12,169	13,006	13,661	14,174	15,153	15,468	15,715	15,908	16,060
255mm Stone wool insulation	10,897	12,001	13,068	13,904	14,560	15,073	16,051	16,366	16,613	16,807	16,959
255mm Expande <mark>d Polystyrene insula</mark>	10,941	12,045	13,112	13,948	14,604	15,117	16,036	16,352	16,599	16,792	16,944

Table 21 LCC Flat roof: Temperate Oceanic



Figure 35 LCC Flat roof: Temperate Oceanic.

Conclusion

150mm polyurethane has the lowest life cycle cost in the Temperate Oceanic climate zone, followed by 255mm expanded polystyrene and 255mm stone wool insulation.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation	60	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation	
---	----	---	--

Part 3 Flat Roof Insulation: Temperate Mediterranean												
Temp. Med.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	0%											
150mm Polyure	thane insulation	9,999	11,132	12,537	13,942	15,347	16,752	20,205	21,610	23,015	24,420	25,825
255mm Stone w	vool insulation	10,897	12,030	13,435	14,841	16,246	17,651	21,104	22,509	23,914	25,319	26,724
255mm Expand	ed Polystyrene insula	10,941	12,075	13,480	14,885	16,290	17,695	20,921	22,326	23,731	25,136	26,541
							_					
Temp. Med.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	3.5%											
150mm Polyure	thane insulation	9,999	11,022	12,105	13,016	13,784	14,430	15,812	16,270	16,656	16,980	17,254
255mm Stone w	vool insulation	10,897	11,921	13,003	13,915	14,682	15,329	16,710	17,168	17,554	17,879	18,152
255mm Expand	ed Polystyrene insula	10,941	11,965	13,047	13,959	14,727	15,373	16,674	17,132	17,518	17,842	18,116
Temp. Med.	year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount %	5%											
150mm Polyure	thane insulation	9,999	10,980	11,952	12,713	13,309	13,777	14,719	15,006	15,231	15,407	15,545
255mm Stone w	vool insulation	10,897	11,878	12,850	13,611	14,208	14,675	15,618	15,905	16,129	16,306	16,444
255mm Expand	led Polystyrene insula	10,941	11,923	12,894	13,656	14,252	14,720	15,609	15,896	16,121	16,297	16,435

Table 22 LCC Flat roof: Temperate Mediterranean



Figure 36 LCC Flat roof: Temperate Mediterranean.

150mm polyurethane has the lowest life cycle cost in the Temperate Mediterranean climate zone, followed by 255mm expanded polystyrene and 255mm stone wool insulation.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

61	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation	

	Continental										
Cool Cont. year Discount % 0%	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
150mm Polyurethane insulation	9,999	12,344	14,961	17,578	20,194	22,811	27,476	30,093	32,710	35,327	37,944
255mm Stone wool insulation	10,897	13,242	15,859	18,476	21,093	23,710	28,375	30,992	33,609	36,226	38,842
255mm Expanded Polystyrene insu	10,941	13,286	15,903	18,520	21,137	23,754	27,950	30,567	33,184	35,801	38,418
Cool Cont. year Discount % 3.5%	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
150mm Polyurethane insulation	9,999	12,116	14,120	15,808	17,229	18,425	20,269	21,117	21,831	22,433	22,939
255mm Stone wool insulation	10,897	13,015	15,019	16,706	18,127	19,323	21,168	22,016	22,730	23,331	23,837
255mm Expanded Polystyrene insu	10,941	13,059	15,063	16,751	18,171	19,368	21,045	21,893	22,607	23,208	23,714
Cool Cont. year	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Discount % 5%											
150mm Polyurethane insulation	9,999	12,029	13,823	15,229	16,330	17,193	18,445	18,975	19,390	19,715	19,970
255mm Stone wool insulation	10,897	12,928	14,722	16,127	17,229	18,091	19,343	19,873	20,288	20,613	20,868
255mm Expanded Polystyrene inst	10.941	12,972	14 766	16 171	17.273	18,136	19,279	19.809	20.224	20,549	20 804

Table 23 LCC Flat roof: Cool Continental





150mm polyurethane has the lowest life cycle cost in the Cool Continental climate zone, followed by 255mm expanded polystyrene and 255mm stone wool insulation.

3.4.1 Flat roof loading.

Calculations have been carried out by a structural engineer, that show that the impact of the different weights of insulation materials, owing to the different thicknesses required, have a negligible effect on the overall mass of the building and would be unlikely to incur any additional cost to the structure. If stone wool, the heaviest of the insulating materials at 130kg/m³, were to require an increase in joist size from 100mm to 150mm deep, this would cost approximately £115 extra for the whole flat roof. This would have no effect on the overall results of the life cycle costing exercise.





4.1 Environmental impacts

The following conclusions, based mainly on the normalised results, have been drawn from the LCA studies:

- The results from Part 1 indicated that the PU designs tended to have similar or higher environmental impacts than those for the designs using alternative insulation materials at the same level of thermal performance. Part 3's results indicated that when insulations need mechanical properties in addition to their thermal performance, then solutions using PU can have environmental impacts similar to or lower than alternative insulations.
- 2) Part 1 further indicates that the materials of the modelled house accounted for around one third of the total Global Warming, and 50 to 90% of the whole house impact in Ozone Depletion, Eutrophication, Photochemical Ozone Creation and Acidification for Air and Water over 50 years for all climate zones.
- 3) The results from Part 2 show that where the amount of insulation is fixed, rather than the U-value, then the greater energy savings achieved with using PU insulation offset the higher environmental impact of the PU insulation itself.
- 4) The results from Part 2 imply that:
 - a. if the cavity is kept at the thickness needed for stone wool and glass wool in Part 1 to achieve the set U-value of 0.15 W m⁻²K⁻¹ then the extra PU insulation that could be incorporated would save energy in use, which could more than offset the extra impact of the PU material and may offer greater benefits as demonstrated in Part 2.
 - b. if stone wool and glass wool were modelled at the same cavity thickness as for the PU model in this project, it is likely that stone wool and glass wool would require more energy during use, which could offset the lower environmental impacts of these insulations.

These conclusions on the relative performance of materials and energy use are influenced by the assumption that the space heating accounts for the majority of the energy consumption of using the house.

BRE Global recommends that the assessments outlined in a) and b) above are carried out with models for the specifications and energy models that are relevant to the climate zones of interest.

Additionally, the energy models have assumed a common airtightness and junction y value for all models. The relevance of this assumption to the results is another further area of research.



4.2 Cost impacts

The following conclusions have been drawn from the LCC studies.

- 1. The results for Part 1 cavity wall infill indicates that for all regions considered, 180mm polyurethane insulation used in the cavity has the lowest life cycle cost, followed by 270mm glass wool and 270mm stone wool.
- 2. Greater thicknesses of wall insulation required increased quantities of materials for the wall and hence higher construction costs. An additional cost/m² for this is included with the new wall calculations. One effect of a thicker new cavity wall is the additional footprint area required for roof and floor. On a large building site this may affect the density or number of properties that could be built on the site, e.g. in the worst case, 8.00m² extra on the roof area for each property may mean that only 9 properties could be fitted in an area that may be able to accommodate 10 if the external walls were thinner and the roof smaller.
- 3. The results for a new pitched roof with insulation between and over the rafters to achieve a common U value indicate that 190mm polyurethane has the lowest life cycle cost when used in all regions followed by 300mm glass wool insulation and 310mm stone wool.
- 4. The results for the new ground floor indicates that 95mm polyurethane insulation has the lower life cycle cost when used in all regions, followed by 185mm expanded polystyrene.
- 5. The results for Part 2 refurbishment of an existing wall by the addition of 50mm insulation to the inside face indicates that polyurethane insulation has the lowest life cycle cost, followed by expanded polystyrene, glass wool and stone wool in all regions.
- 6. The results for Part 3 new warm deck flat roof indicates that 150mm polyurethane has the lowest life cycle cost when used in all regions, followed by 255mm expanded polystyrene and 255mm stone wool insulation.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Appendix 1

Thermal assessment and energy calculations

Introduction

The overall objective of the BING Project study is to quantify the PU insulation contribution on the environmental and economic performance in low-energy buildings and compare it to the use of alternative insulation materials relevant to the considered applications.

The study is in 3 parts:-

Part 1: impact of insulation in new build for total building perspective;

Part 2: impact of insulation in renovation when thickness is restricted;

Part 3: impact of insulation density with new build flat roof

For each of the three parts a specific house type and size is used, where this was selected as the small detached house from BRE Client Report "Standard Dwellings for Energy Modelling" (CR444/98) by Peter Iles. The total floor area of the two storey house is 104 m² with the heating system, lighting etc. fixed, with only the insulation of the building fabric varying.

U-values and Insulation thicknesses

Part 1. New build - impact of thermal conductivity

For Part 1 where the different insulation materials are used in new build constructions, the building element constructions of wall, roof and floor have insulation thicknesses such that the same U-value is achieved for the different insulation materials. The window U-value is fixed at 2.1 W/m²K and the heat loss associated with the thermal bridging at the junctions between building elements and around openings has a y-value of 0.08 W/m²K.

Part 2. Existing build - Impact of thickness restriction

For Part 2, insulation of the walls of the dwelling is restricted to adding 50mm thickness of insulation to the inside of an uninsulated brick/cavity/block wall. This results in different U-values for the different insulation materials used in the wall. The roof is taken to be insulated between joists with 100 mm of insulation and the floor construction is taken to be uninsulated resulting in fixed U-values of 0.40 and 0.67 W/m²K for the roof and ground floor, respectively. The U-value of the windows is fixed at 2.7 W/m²K and the heat loss associated with the thermal bridging at the junctions between building elements and around openings considered to have a y-value of 0.15 W/m²K.

65 Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation



Part 3. New build - Flat roof

For Part 3, the roof of the dwelling is replaced with a flat roof with a U-value of 0.15 W/m²K. As for Part 1 the window U-value is fixed at 2.1 W/m²K and the heat loss associated with the thermal bridging at the junctions between building elements and around openings again has a y-value of 0.08 W/m²K.

Calculation of Energy emissions for space heating

The energy used for space heating is calculated using a version BRESAP amended for different external climates. The three climatic regions covered are Temperate Oceanic, Temperate Mediterranean and Cool Continental, where the external climate data for each being sourced from the Photovoltaic Geographical Information System (PVGIS) where the data used is the 24 hour mean monthly values of external temperature and solar radiation.

There is of course a clear difference in energy consumptions for space heating between each of the three different external climates. Using the Temperate Oceanic zone as the base consumption (bold text values), for Temperate Mediterranean the consumptions are 18% less for all builds. For Cool Continental and for the new builds (Parts 1 and 3), the consumptions are 140% higher compared to the TO zone and for the existing builds (Part2) the consumptions are about 110% more in the CC zone compared to that for the TO.

For the existing build (Part2) and for all three external climates, using the PU internal insulation as the base case (Red text values), the space heating consumption with the EPS insulation on the wall is 8% more and that for the SW/GW insulation on the wall is around 11% more.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

	U-values (W/m²K)			Junctions y-value	Temperate Mediterranean (TM)	Temperate Oceanic (TO)	Cool Continental (CC)	
	Wall	Roof	Floor	Windows	(W/m²K)	Energy (kWh/yr)	Energy (kWh/yr)	Energy (kWh/yr)
Part 1 New build								
Pitched Roof	0.15	0.13	0.18	2.1	0.08	^{-18%} 3789	4640 [*]	^{+140%} 10988
Part 2 Existing build								
PU Composite	0.36	0.40	0.67	2.7	0.15	^{-18%} 7407 [#]	9077 [#]	^{+111%} 19143 [#]
EPS Composite	0.47	0.40	0.67	2.7	0.15	^{-18%} 7997 _{+8%}	9787_{+8%}	^{+109%} 20423 _{+7%}
SW/GW Built-up	0.54	0.40	0.67	2.7	0.15	^{-18%} 8371 _{+13%}	10237 _{+13%}	^{+107%} 21233 _{+11%}
Part 3 New build								
Flat Roof	0.15	0.15	0.18	2.1	0.08	^{-18%} 3649	4465	^{+139%} 10660
¹ Source of climate data is the Photovoltaic Geographical Information System (PVGIS) and the data used is the 24 hr mean monthly values of external temperature and solar radiation.								
² Base cases in bold (UK) for comparisons of consumptions with climate variation - % values top left								
[#] Base case in red (PU) for c	omparisons	s of consump	tions with insul	ation variation -	%values bottom right		

Table 24 U-values summary and BRESAP Energy calculations for space heating for three different climates



BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Appendix 2

Outline Specifications of elements

Part 1 New Build

Cavity wall constructions (U-value = $0.15 \text{ W m}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$)

Common components:

Half brick (102.5mm) thick facing brick outer skin; 100mm dense blockwork inner skin; 13mm thick lightweight plaster; mist and 2 coats emulsion paint

With Polyurethane insulation:

Density (kg m ⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
32	0.023

Form cavity 180mm wide with 300mm galvanised vertical twist wall ties; 180mm thick polyurethane insulation fixed in cavity.

Additional roof area for 180mm cavity over 50mm standard cavity including structure, coverings and rainwater goods

Additional foundation area for 180mm cavity over 50mm cavity including excavation, substructure and reinforced concrete slab

With Stone Wool batt insulation:

Density (kg m ⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
39	0.037

Form cavity 270 wide with 400mm stainless steel wall ties; 270 mm thick stone wool insulation fixed in cavity

Additional roof area for 270mm cavity over 50mm cavity including structure, coverings and rainwater goods Additional foundation area for 270mm cavity over 50mm cavity including excavation, substructure and reinforced concrete slab

With Glass Wool batt insulation:

Density (kg m ⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
17	0.037

Form cavity 270 wide with 400mm stainless steel wall ties; 270mm thick glass wool insulation fixed in cavity Additional roof area for 270mm cavity over 50mm cavity including structure, coverings and rainwater goods



Additional foundation area for 270mm cavity over 50mm cavity including excavation, substructure and reinforced concrete slab

Pitched roof constructions, insulated on slope (U-value = $0.13 \text{ W m}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$)

Common components:

Softwood attic rafters,100 (or 220) mm x 50mm members @600mm centres; Concrete interlocking tiles including underfelt, battens, eaves and ridges; 12.5mm plasterboard, fixed with nails, taped and filled joints for direct decoration; mist and 2 coats emulsion paint to plasterboard ceiling

With Polyurethane insulation:

Density (kg m ⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
32	0.023

100mm polyurethane insulation laid between rafters; 90mm polyurethane insulation laid across rafters;

With Stone Wool insulation: to achieve a U-value 0.13 W m⁻²K⁻¹ for any spacing or width or rafter

Density (kg m ⁻³)	Thickness (mm)	
45	220mm (between rafters) λ=0.038
145	90mm (over rafters)	λ=0.038

With Glass Wool insulation

Density (kg m ⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
17	0.032

300mm glass wool insulation laid between rafters

Ground floor constructions (U-value = $0.18 \text{ W m}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$)

Common components:

200mm thick reinforced concrete ground slab; 50mm thick reinforced cement and sand screed

With Polyurethane insulation.

95mm thick polyurethane insulation	
Density (kg m ⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
32	0.023

With Expanded Polystyrene insulation:

185mm thick expanded polystyrene insulation

Density (kg m ⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
18.5	0.03

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Part 2 Existing Building

Lining of existing external wall constructions (fixed thickness of 50 mm insulation)

Common components:

Half brick (102.5mm) thick facing brick outer skin; form cavity 50 wide with galvanised vertical twist wall ties; 100mm dense blockwork inner skin.

With Polyurethane insulation: Giving a wall U value of 0.36 W m⁻²K⁻¹

Density (kg m ⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
32	0.023

50mm thick polyurethane insulation fixed to block wall with plaster adhesive; 12.5mm thick plasterboard; 2 coats emulsion paint.

With Stone Wool insulation: Giving a wall U value of 0.54 W m⁻²K⁻¹

Density (kg m ⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
39	0.037

50mm thick stone wool insulation fixed to block wall between studs; timber studs, 50mm x 50mm at 600ccs fixed to blockwork; 12.5mm thick plasterboard; 2 coats emulsion paint.

With Glass Wool insulation: Giving a wall U value of 0.54 W m⁻²K⁻¹

Density (kg m ⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
24	0.035

50mm thick glass wool insulation fixed to block wall between studs; timber studs, 50mm x 50mm at 600ccs fixed to blockwork; 12.5mm thick plasterboard; 2 coats emulsion paint.

With Expanded Polystyrene insulation: Giving a wall U value of 0.47 W m⁻²K⁻¹

Density (kg m ⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
30	0.034

50mm thick expanded polystyrene insulation fixed to block wall with plaster adhesive; 12.5mm thick plasterboard; 2 coats emulsion paint.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Part 3 Flat roof constructions (U-value = $0.15 \text{ W m}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$)

Common components:

Softwood flat roof structure; 100mm x 50mm joists, plates and strutting; 19mm thick OSB tongued and grooved boarding; vapour control layer; EPDM single ply roof membrane; 12.5mm plasterboard, fixed with nails, taped and filled joints for direct decoration; mist and 2 coats emulsion paint to plasterboard ceiling

150mm polyurethane insulation

Density (kg m⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
32	0.023

255mm stone wool insulation

Density (kg m ⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
130	0.038

255mm expanded polystyrene insulation

Density (kg m ⁻³)	k value [thermal conductivity, λ] (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
30	0.034

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

71 Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insul	ation
---	-------



Appendix 3

Details of house model

Extract from BRE Report Client Report "Standard Dwellings for Energy Modelling" (CR444/98)

SMALL DETACHED HOUSE - ELEVATIONS



BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	
72	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation
----	---



SMALL DETACHED HOUSE



Living room

4.3

6.5

2.2

Ground floor

12

4.5

Į.

SMALL DETACHED HOUSE Total floor area: 104.0 m² Storey Total (m²) Date 1 height (m²) Ground floor 52.0 25.0 2.4 First floor 52.0 4.2 (Incl. glazed french door) 16.3 4.2 (Incl. glazed french door)

Doors	3.8	-	Ĩ
Heat loss walls excl. doors/window Heat loss walls	s: 128.3	32.1	
ind, doors/windown	s: 149.0	36.3	ł
Perimeter Volume	29.8 m 260.00 3	m ^a	
or cooperits	-		

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

73	Life cycle environmental and economical analysis of polyurethane insulation



Appendix 4

SAP Calculations

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Newbuild in Temperate Oceanic (TO)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey	Volume	
Ground floor	<u>(11-)</u> 52.00	<u>11eignt (111)</u> 2 40	<u>(112)</u> 124.80	(1)
First floor	52.00	2.40	135 20	(1)
Total floor area	104.00	2.00	100.20	(<u>2</u>) (5)
Dwelling volume (m ³)	101.00		260.00	(6)
			200100	(0)
2. Ventilation rate				
		<u>m³ per hou</u>	<u>ır</u>	()
Number of chimneys	0 × 40	0		(7)
Number of flues	0×20	0		(8)
Number of fans or passive vents	2 × 10	20		(9)
Number of flueless gas fires	0×40	0		(9a)
Infiltration due to chinese up flues and for	_		ach	(10)
Inflitration due to chimneys, flues and fans	S Vaa		0.08	(10)
Pressure test	Yes			
Measured/design q50	10.0		0.50	(10)
Inilitation rate	0		0.58	(19)
Shelter factor				(20)
Adjusted infiltration rate	0.00		0.40	(21)
Aujusteu Innitiation Tate			0.49	(22)
Effective air change rate			0.62	(25)
Ellective all change rate			0.02	(25)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
	Area	U-value	Α×U	
Element	<u>(m²)</u>	<u>(W/m²K)</u>	<u>(W/K)</u>	
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.10) 1.94	32.74	(27)
Ground floor	52.00	0.18	9.36	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.15	18.12	(29)
Roof	52.00	0.10	5.20	(30)
Total area of elements	<u>245.50</u>			(32)
Fabric heat loss			76.25	(33)
Thermal bridges (0.08 × total area)			19.64	(34)
Total fabric heat loss			95.89	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			53.22	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			149.11	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HLP)			1.43	(38)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



n mater nearing energy requirements			
		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

¥	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 50	<u> g </u> 0.72	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	<u>295</u> 295	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1046 7.01 0.923 966	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal ter</u> Mean temperature Temperature adju Adjustment for gai Adjusted living are Temperature diffe Living area fractio Rest-of-house are Mean internal tem	emperatu of the li stment fr stment fr ea tempe rence be n a fractio perature	<u>ure</u> iving area rom Tabl erature etween zo n	a e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.88 0.00 0.50 19.38 1.48 0.186 0.814 18.17	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise Base temperature Degree-days	from gai	ns					6.48 11.70 1281.1	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



		kWh/year	
		3942	(81)
	0.10		(82)
	90.0		(83)
	100		(84)
		3942	(85)
		394	(85a)
3319			
	90.0		(86)
		3688	(86a)
		130	(87)
		821	(87g)
	3319	0.10 90.0 100 3319 90.0	kWh/year 0.10 90.0 100 3942 3942 3942 3942 3942 3942 3942 3942 3942 3942 3942 3942 3942 3943 3944 3945 3946 3947 3948 3949 90.0 3688 130 821

12a. <u>Carbon dioxide emissions</u>	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	<u>(kWh/year)</u>	<u>factor</u>	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	3942	0.194	765	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	394	0.422	166	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	716	(103)
Space and water heating			1647	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			2048	(112)
		<u>kg/m²/year</u>		
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Rate (DER)19.69				

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Newbuild in Temperate Mediterranean (TM)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey	Volume	
Ground floor	<u>52 00</u>	2 40	124.80	(1)
First floor	52.00	2.10	135 20	(1)
Total floor area	104 00	2.00	100.20	(5)
Dwelling volume (m ³)	101.00		260.00	(6)
2. <u>ventilation rate</u>		m ³ nor hou		
Number of chimpove	0×10		<u>11</u>	(7)
Number of flues	0×40	0		(7)
Number of fans or passive vents	0×20	20		(0)
Number of flueloss gas fires	2×10	20		(8) (0a)
Number of nucless gas mes	0 × 40	0	ach	(3a)
Infiltration due to chimneys flues and fan	c		0.08	(10)
Pressure test	Ves		0.00	(10)
Measured/design g50	10.0			
Infiltration rate	10.0		0.58	(19)
Number of sides sheltered	2		0.00	(20)
Shelter factor	0.85			(21)
Adjusted infiltration rate	0.00		0.49	(22)
Natural ventilation				()
Effective air change rate			0.62	(25)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
	Area	U-value	A×U	
Element	<u>(m²)</u>	<u>(W/m²K)</u>	<u>(W/K)</u>	
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.10) 1.94	32.74	(27)
Ground floor	52.00	0.18	9.36	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.15	18.12	(29)
Roof	52.00	0.10	5.20	(30)
Total area of elements	<u>245.50</u>			(32)
Fabric heat loss			76.25	(33)
Thermal bridges (0.08 × total area)			<u>19.64</u>	(34)
I otal fabric heat loss			95.89	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			<u>53.22</u>	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			149.11	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HLP)			1.43	(38)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



n water nearing energy requiremente			
		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 68	<u>_g</u> 0.72	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	<u>401</u> 401	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1152 7.73 0.903 1040	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal ter</u> Mean temperature Temperature adjus Adjustment for gair Adjusted living area Temperature different Living area fraction Rest-of-house area Mean internal temp	mperatu of the li tment fr is a tempe ence be fraction erature	<u>ure</u> ving area rom Table erature etween zo	a e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.88 0.00 0.59 19.47 1.48 0.186 0.814 18.27	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise fr Base temperature Degree-days	om gaii	ns					6.97 11.30 1199.2	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



9a. Energy requirements			kWh/year	
Space heating requirement (useful)			3222	(81)
Fraction of heat from secondary system		0.10		(82)
Efficiency of main heating system		90.0		(83)
Efficiency of secondary heating system		100		(84)
Space heating fuel (main)			3222	(85)
Space heating fuel (secondary)			322	(85a)
Water heating requirement	3319			. ,
Efficiency of water heater		90.0		(86)
Water heating fuel			3688	(86a)
Electricity for pumps and fans			130	(87)
Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)			821	(87g)

12a. <u>Carbon dioxide emissions</u>	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	<u>(kWh/year)</u>	<u>factor</u>	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	3222	0.194	625	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	322	0.422	136	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	716	(103)
Space and water heating			1477	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			1878	(112)
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>	
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Ra	18.06	(113)		

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Newbuild in Cool Continental (CC)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey	Volume	
Cround floor	<u>(m²)</u> 52.00	<u>neight (m)</u>	<u>(m³)</u>	(1)
First floor	52.00	2.40	124.00	(1)
Total floor area	104.00	2.00	133.20	(Z) (5)
Dwelling volume (m ³)	104.00		260.00	(5)
			200.00	(0)
2. Ventilation rate				
		<u>m³ per hou</u>	<u>1r</u>	
Number of chimneys	0 × 40	0		(7)
Number of flues	0 × 20	0		(8)
Number of fans or passive vents	2 × 10	20		(9)
Number of flueless gas fires	0 × 40	0		(9a)
			<u>ach</u>	(()
Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fan	S		0.08	(10)
Pressure test	Yes			
Measured/design q50	10.0		0.50	(10)
Infiltration rate	0		0.58	(19)
Number of sides sheltered	2			(20)
Shelter factor	0.85		0.40	(21)
Adjusted Infiliation rate			0.49	(22)
Effective oir change rate			0.62	(25)
Ellective all change fate			0.62	(25)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
	Area	U-value	Α×U	
Element	(m²)	(W/m²K)	(W/K)	
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.10) 1.94	32.74	(27)
Ground floor	52.00	0.18	9.36	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.15	18.12	(29)
Roof	52.00	0.10	5.20	(30)
Total area of elements	<u>245.50</u>			(32)
Fabric heat loss			76.25	(33)
Thermal bridges (0.08 × total area)			19.64	(34)
Total fabric heat loss			95.89	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			53.22	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			149.11	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HLP)			1.43	(38)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



n water nearing energy requiremente			
		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

¥	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 46	<u> g </u> 0.72	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	<u>272</u> 272	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1022 6.86 0.928 948	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal te</u> Mean temperature Temperature adjus Adjustment for gair Adjusted living area Temperature differen Living area fraction Rest-of-house area Mean internal temp	mperatu of the li tment fi a tempe ence be fractio perature	<u>ure</u> iving area rom Tabl erature etween zo n	a e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.88 0.00 0.47 19.35 1.48 0.186 0.814 18.15	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise fr Base temperature Degree-days	rom gai	ns					6.36 11.79 1300.5	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



		kWh/year	
		9470	(81)
	0.10		(82)
	90.0		(83)
	100		(84)
		9470	(85)
		947	(85a)
3319			
	90.0		(86)
		3688	(86a)
		130	(87)
		821	(87g)
	3319	0.10 90.0 100 3319 90.0	kWh/year 9470 0.10 90.0 90.0 9470 100 9470 947 9470 947 3319 90.0 3688 130 821

12a. Carbon dioxide emissions	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	<u>(kWh/year)</u>	<u>factor</u>	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	9470	0.194	1837	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	947	0.422	400	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	<u>716</u>	(103)
Space and water heating			2952	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			3354	(112)
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>	
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Ra	te (DER)		32.25	(113)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Bing Part2 Existing SW_GW in Temperate Oceanic (TO)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey	Volume	
Ground floor	<u>52 00</u>	2 40	124.80	(1)
First floor	52.00	2.10	135 20	(1)
Total floor area	104.00	2.00	100.20	(<u></u> 2) (5)
Dwelling volume (m ³)	104.00		260.00	(0)
			200.00	(0)
2. Ventilation rate				
		m ³ per hou	<u>ır</u>	<i>(</i>)
Number of chimneys	0 × 40	0		(7)
Number of flues	0 × 20	0		(8)
Number of fans or passive vents	2 × 10	20		(9)
Number of flueless gas fires	0 × 40	0		(9a)
			<u>ach</u>	
Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fan	S		0.08	(10)
Pressure test	Yes			
Measured/design q50	10.0			
Infiltration rate			0.58	(19)
Number of sides sheltered	2			(20)
Shelter factor	0.85			(21)
Adjusted infiltration rate			0.49	(22)
Natural ventilation				
Effective air change rate			0.62	(25)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
	Area	U-value	A×U	
Element	(m ²)	(W/m^2K)	(W/K)	
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.70) 2.44	41.18	(27)
Ground floor	52 00	0.67	34 84	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.54	65.23	(29)
Roof	52.00	0.40	20.80	(20)
Total area of elements	245 50	0.10		(32)
Fabric heat loss	210.00		172 88	(33)
Thermal bridges (0.15 x total area)			36.83	(34)
Total fabric beat loss			209.71	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			53 22	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			262.93	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HI P)			2 53	(38)
			2.00	(00)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



4. Water neuting energy requirements			
		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 50	<u>_g</u> 0.76	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	1 <u>312</u> 312	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1062 4.04 0.988 1050	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal ter</u> Mean temperature Temperature adjus Adjustment for gain Adjusted living area Temperature different Living area fraction Rest-of-house area Mean internal temp	mperatu of the li tment fr a tempe ence be fraction perature	<u>ure</u> ving area rom Table trature tween zo	e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.81 0.00 0.00 18.80 1.65 0.186 0.814 17.46	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise fr Base temperature Degree-days	rom gaiı	ns					3.99 13.47 1662.4	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



		kWh/year	
		9306	(81)
	0.10		(82)
	90.0		(83)
	100		(84)
		9306	(85)
		931	(85a)
3319			
	90.0		(86)
		3688	(86a)
		130	(87)
		821	(87g)
	3319	0.10 90.0 100 3319 90.0	kWh/year 9306 0.10 9306 90.0 9306 100 9306 931 931 3319 90.0 3688 130 821 821

12a. <u>Carbon dioxide emissions</u>	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	(kWh/year)	<u>factor</u>	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	9306	0.194	1805	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	931	0.422	393	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	716	(103)
Space and water heating			2914	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			3315	(112)
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>	
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Ra		31.87	(113)	

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Bing Part2 Existing SW_GW in Temperate Mediterranean (TM)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey	Volume	
	<u>(m²)</u>	height (m)	<u>(m³)</u>	
Ground floor	52.00	2.40	124.80	(1)
FIRST FLOOR	<u>52.00</u>	2.60	135.20	(2)
l otal floor area	104.00			(5)
Dwelling volume (m ²)			260.00	(6)
2. Ventilation rate				
		<u>m³ per hou</u>	<u>ır</u>	
Number of chimneys	0 × 40	0		(7)
Number of flues	0 × 20	0		(8)
Number of fans or passive vents	2 × 10	20		(9)
Number of flueless gas fires	0 × 40	0		(9a)
			<u>ach</u>	
Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans	5		0.08	(10)
Pressure test	Yes			
Measured/design q50	10.0			
Infiltration rate			0.58	(19)
Number of sides sheltered	2			(20)
Shelter factor	0.85			(21)
Adjusted infiltration rate			0.49	(22)
Natural ventilation				
Effective air change rate			0.62	(25)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
	Area	U-value	Α×U	
Element	(m²)	(W/m²K)	(W/K)	
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.70) 2.44	41.18	(27)
Ground floor	52.00	0.67	34.84	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.54	65.23	(29)
Roof	52.00	0.40	20.80	(30)
Total area of elements	<u>245.50</u>			(32)
Fabric heat loss			172.88	(33)
Thermal bridges (0.15 × total area)			36.83	(34)
Total fabric heat loss			209.71	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			53.22	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			262.93	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HLP)			2.53	(38)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



n <u>mater neuting energy requiremente</u>			
		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

<u></u>	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 68	<u> g </u> 0.76	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	<u>424</u> 424	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1174 4.47 0.982 1154	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal ter</u> Mean temperature Temperature adjust Adjustment for gai Adjusted living are Temperature diffe Living area fraction Rest-of-house are Mean internal tem	emperatu of the li stment fr sa tempe rence be n a fractio perature	<u>ure</u> iving area rom Tabl erature etween zo n	a e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.81 0.00 0.08 18.88 1.65 0.186 0.814 17.54	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise Base temperature Degree-days	from gai	ns					4.39 13.15 1593.0	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



		kWh/year	
		7610	(81)
	0.10		(82)
	90.0		(83)
	100		(84)
		7610	(85)
		761	(85a)
3319			
	90.0		(86)
		3688	(86a)
		130	(87)
		821	(87g)
	3319	0.10 90.0 100 3319 90.0	kWh/year 0.10 90.0 100 7610 7610 7610 7610 7610 7610 7610 7610 7610 7610 7610 7610 7610 761 3319 90.0 3688 130 821

12a. <u>Carbon dioxide emissions</u>	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	<u>(kWh/year)</u>	factor	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	7610	0.194	1476	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	761	0.422	321	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	716	(103)
Space and water heating			2513	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			2914	(112)
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>	
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Rate (DER)28.02				

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Bing Part2 Existing SW_GW in Cool Continental (CC)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey	Volume	
Ground floor	<u>52 00</u>	2 40	124.80	(1)
First floor	52.00	2.10	135 20	(1)
Total floor area	104.00	2.00	100.20	(<u></u> 2) (5)
Dwelling volume (m ³)	104.00		260.00	(0)
			200.00	(0)
2. Ventilation rate				
		m ³ per hou	<u>ır</u>	<i>(</i>)
Number of chimneys	0 × 40	0		(7)
Number of flues	0 × 20	0		(8)
Number of fans or passive vents	2 × 10	20		(9)
Number of flueless gas fires	0 × 40	0		(9a)
			<u>ach</u>	
Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fan	S		0.08	(10)
Pressure test	Yes			
Measured/design q50	10.0			
Infiltration rate			0.58	(19)
Number of sides sheltered	2			(20)
Shelter factor	0.85			(21)
Adjusted infiltration rate			0.49	(22)
Natural ventilation				
Effective air change rate			0.62	(25)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
	Area	U-value	A×U	
Element	(m ²)	(W/m^2K)	(W/K)	
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.70) 2.44	41.18	(27)
Ground floor	52 00	0.67	34 84	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.54	65.23	(29)
Roof	52.00	0.40	20.80	(20)
Total area of elements	245 50	0.10		(32)
Fabric heat loss	210.00		172 88	(33)
Thermal bridges (0.15 x total area)			36.83	(34)
Total fabric beat loss			209.71	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			53 22	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			262.93	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HI P)			2 53	(38)
			2.00	(00)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 46	<u> g </u> 0.76	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	1 <u>287</u> 287	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1037 3.95 0.990 1027	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal ter</u> Mean temperature Temperature adjust Adjustment for gain Adjusted living are Temperature differ Living area fraction Rest-of-house area Mean internal temp	emperatu of the li stment fi ns a tempe rence be n a fractio perature	<u>ure</u> iving area rom Tabl erature etween zo n	a e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.81 0.00 -0.02 18.79 1.65 0.186 0.814 17.44	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise f Base temperature Degree-days	rom gai	ns					3.90 13.54 1678.0	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



9a. Energy requirements			kWh/year	
Space heating requirement (useful)			19303	(81)
Fraction of heat from secondary system (assumed for the calculation)		0.10		(82)
Efficiency of main heating system		90.0		(83)
Efficiency of secondary heating system		100		(84)
Space heating fuel (main)			19303	(85)
Space heating fuel (secondary)			1930	(85a)
Water heating requirement	3319			
Efficiency of water heater		90.0		(86)
Water heating fuel			3688	(86a)
Electricity for pumps and fans			130	(87)
Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)			821	(87g)

12a. <u>Carbon dioxide emissions</u>	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	<u>(kWh/year)</u>	factor	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	19303	0.194	3745	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	1930	0.422	815	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	716	(103)
Space and water heating			5275	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			5676	(112)
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>	
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Rate (DER) 54.58				

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Bing Part2 Existing PU in Temperate Oceanic (TO)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey	Volume	
Ground floor	<u>(III-)</u> 52.00	<u>11eigint (111)</u> 2.40	<u>(III^e)</u> 124.80	(1)
First floor	52.00	2.40	135 20	(1)
Total floor area	104.00	2.00	100.20	(2)
Dwelling volume (m ³)	104.00		260.00	(6)
			200100	(0)
2. Ventilation rate				
		m ³ per hou	<u>ur</u>	<u>()</u>
Number of chimneys	0×40	0		(7)
Number of flues	0×20	0		(8)
Number of fans or passive vents	2×10	20		(9)
Number of flueless gas fires	0×40	0		(9a)
			ach	(10)
Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans	S		0.08	(10)
Pressure test	Yes			
Measured/design q50	10.0		0.50	(10)
Inflitration rate	0		0.58	(19)
Number of sides sheltered	2			(20)
Sheller lactor	0.85		0.40	(21)
Adjusted Initiation rate			0.49	(22)
Effective oir change rate			0.62	(25)
Ellective all change rate			0.02	(25)
3 Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
	Area	U-value	AxU	
Element	(m ²)	(W/m^2K)	(W/K)	
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.70) 2.44	41.18	(27)
Ground floor	52.00	0.67	34.84	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.36	43.49	(29)
Roof	52.00	0.40	20.80	(30)
Total area of elements	245.50			(32)
Fabric heat loss			151.14	(33)
Thermal bridges (0.15 x total area)			36.83	(34)
Total fabric heat loss			187.97	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			53.22	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			241.18	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HLP)			2.32	(38)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



The second s			
		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

¥	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 50	<u>_g</u> 0.76	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	<u>312</u> 312	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1062 4.40 0.983 1044	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal ter</u> Mean temperature Temperature adjus Adjustment for gair Adjusted living area Temperature different Living area fraction Rest-of-house area Mean internal temp	mperatu of the li tment fi a tempe ence be fractio perature	<u>ure</u> ving area rom Table erature etween zo	a e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.82 0.00 0.07 18.89 1.62 0.186 0.814 17.57	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise fr Base temperature Degree-days	rom gai	ns					4.33 13.24 1612.9	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



31)
32)
33)
34)́
35)
35a)
36)
36a)
37)
37g)

12a. <u>Carbon dioxide emissions</u>	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	<u>(kWh/year)</u>	<u>factor</u>	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	8252	0.194	1601	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	825	0.422	348	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	716	(103)
Space and water heating			2665	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			3066	(112)
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>	
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Ra	ite (DER)		29.48	(113)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Bing Part2 Existing PU in Temperate Mediterranean (TM)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey	Volume	
	<u>(m²)</u>	<u>height (m)</u>	<u>(m³)</u>	
Ground floor	52.00	2.40	124.80	(1)
First floor	52.00	2.60	<u>135.20</u>	(2)
l otal floor area	104.00			(5)
Dwelling volume (m ³)			260.00	(6)
2. Ventilation rate				
		<u>m³ per hou</u>	<u>ır</u>	
Number of chimneys	0 × 40	0		(7)
Number of flues	0 × 20	0		(8)
Number of fans or passive vents	2 × 10	20		(9)
Number of flueless gas fires	0 × 40	0		(9a)
			<u>ach</u>	
Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans	5		0.08	(10)
Pressure test	Yes			
Measured/design q50	10.0			
Infiltration rate	-		0.58	(19)
Number of sides sheltered	2			(20)
Shelter factor	0.85			(21)
Adjusted infiltration rate			0.49	(22)
Natural ventilation				<i>i</i>
Effective air change rate			0.62	(25)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
	Area	U-value	Α×U	
Element	<u>(m²)</u>	<u>(W/m²K)</u>	<u>(W/K)</u>	
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.70) 2.44	41.18	(27)
Ground floor	52.00	0.67	34.84	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.36	43.49	(29)
Roof	52.00	0.40	20.80	(30)
Total area of elements	<u>245.50</u>			(32)
Fabric heat loss			151.14	(33)
Thermal bridges (0.15 × total area)			36.83	(34)
Total fabric heat loss			187.97	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			53.22	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			241.18	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HLP)			2.32	(38)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



n <u>mater neuting energy requiremente</u>			
		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

¥	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 68	<u> g </u> 0.76	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	<u>424</u> 424	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1174 4.87 0.975 1145	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal te</u> Mean temperature Temperature adjus Adjustment for gain Adjusted living area Temperature differ Living area fraction Rest-of-house area Mean internal temp	mperatu of the li atment fr a tempe ence be a fraction perature	<u>ure</u> ving area rom Table rature tween zo n	a e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.82 0.00 0.15 18.97 1.62 0.186 0.814 17.65	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise f Base temperature Degree-days	rom gaiı	าร					4.75 12.91 1539.3	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



9a. Energy requirements			kWh/year	
Space heating requirement (useful)			6734	(81)
Fraction of heat from secondary system (assumed for the calculation)		0.10		(82)
Efficiency of main heating system		90.0		(83)
Efficiency of secondary heating system		100		(84)
Space heating fuel (main)			6734	(85)
Space heating fuel (secondary)			673	(85a)
Water heating requirement	3319			
Efficiency of water heater		90.0		(86)
Water heating fuel			3688	(86a)
Electricity for pumps and fans			130	(87)
Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)			821	(87g)

12a. <u>Carbon dioxide emissions</u>	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	<u>(kWh/year)</u>	factor	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	6734	0.194	1306	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	673	0.422	284	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	716	(103)
Space and water heating			2306	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			2707	(112)
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>	
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Ra		26.03	(113)	

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Bing Part2 Existing PU in Cool Continental (CC)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey	Volume	
Cround floor	<u>(m²)</u> 52.00	neight (m)	<u>(m³)</u>	(1)
First floor	52.00	2.40	124.00	(1)
Total floor area	104.00	2.00	135.20	(Z) (5)
Dwolling volume (m ³)	104.00		260.00	(5)
			200.00	(0)
2. Ventilation rate				
		<u>m³ per hou</u>	<u>ır</u>	<i>i</i>
Number of chimneys	0 × 40	0		(7)
Number of flues	0 × 20	0		(8)
Number of fans or passive vents	2 × 10	20		(9)
Number of flueless gas fires	0 × 40	0		(9a)
			<u>ach</u>	
Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans	6		0.08	(10)
Pressure test	Yes			
Measured/design q50	10.0			
Infiltration rate			0.58	(19)
Number of sides sheltered	2			(20)
Shelter factor	0.85			(21)
Adjusted infiltration rate			0.49	(22)
Natural ventilation				
Effective air change rate			0.62	(25)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
	Area	U-value	A×U	
Element	(m²)	(W/m²K)	(W/K)	
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.70) 2.44	41.18	(27)
Ground floor	52.00	0.6 7	34.84	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.36	43.49	(29)
Roof	52.00	0.40	20.80	(30)
Total area of elements	245.50			(32)
Fabric heat loss			151.14	(33)
Thermal bridges (0.15 x total area)			36.83	(34)
Total fabric heat loss			187.97	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			53.22	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			241.18	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HLP)			2.32	(38)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



n <u>mater neuting energy requiremente</u>			
		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

¥	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 46	<u> g </u> 0.76	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	<u>287</u> 287	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1037 4.30 0.985 1022	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal te</u> Mean temperature Temperature adjus Adjustment for gair Adjusted living area Temperature differ Living area fraction Rest-of-house area Mean internal temp	mperatu of the li tment fr as a tempe ence be a fractio perature	<u>ure</u> ving area rom Tabl erature etween zo n	a e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.82 0.00 0.05 18.87 1.62 0.186 0.814 17.55	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise f Base temperature Degree-days	rom gai	ns					4.24 13.32 1629.6	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



		kWh/year	
		17403	(81)
	0.10		(82)
	90.0		(83)
	100		(84)
		17403	(85)
		1740	(85a)
3319			
	90.0		(86)
		3688	(86a)
		130	(87)
		821	(87g)
	3319	0.10 90.0 100 3319 90.0	kWh/year 17403 0.10 90.0 100 17403 1740 3319 90.0 3688 130 821

12a. <u>Carbon dioxide emissions</u>	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	<u>(kWh/year)</u>	factor	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	17403	0.194	3376	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	1740	0.422	734	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	716	(103)
Space and water heating			4826	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			5227	(112)
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>	
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Rate (DER)50.26				

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Bing Part2 Existing EPS in Temperate Oceanic (TO)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey	Volume	
	<u>(m²)</u>	<u>height (m)</u>	<u>(m³)</u>	()
Ground floor	52.00	2.40	124.80	(1)
	52.00	2.60	<u>135.20</u>	(2)
l otal floor area	104.00		000.00	(5)
Dwelling volume (m ³)			260.00	(6)
2. Ventilation rate				
		<u>m³ per hou</u>	<u>ur</u>	
Number of chimneys	0 × 40	0		(7)
Number of flues	0 × 20	0		(8)
Number of fans or passive vents	2 × 10	20		(9)
Number of flueless gas fires	0 × 40	0		(9a)
			<u>ach</u>	
Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fan	S		0.08	(10)
Pressure test	Yes			
Measured/design q50	10.0			(()
Infiltration rate	0		0.58	(19)
Number of sides sheltered	2			(20)
Shelter factor	0.85		0.40	(21)
Adjusted Inflitration rate			0.49	(22)
			0.00	(05)
Ellective all change rate			0.62	(25)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
	Area	U-value	Α×U	
Element	<u>(m²)</u>	<u>(W/m²K)</u>	<u>(W/K)</u>	
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.70) 2.44	41.18	(27)
Ground floor	52.00	0.67	34.84	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.47	56.78	(29)
Roof	52.00	0.40	20.80	(30)
Total area of elements	<u>245.50</u>			(32)
Fabric heat loss			164.43	(33)
Thermal bridges (0.15 × total area)			36.83	(34)
Total fabric heat loss			201.25	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			53.22	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			254.47	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HLP)			2.45	(38)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



4. Water neating energy requirements			
		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 50	<u>_g</u> 0.76	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	<u>312</u> 312	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1062 4.17 0.987 1048	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal ter</u> Mean temperature Temperature adjust Adjustment for gain Adjusted living area Temperature different Living area fraction Rest-of-house area Mean internal temp	mperatu of the li tment fr is a tempe ence be fraction erature	<u>ure</u> ving area rom Table rature tween zo	e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.81 0.00 0.02 18.84 1.64 0.186 0.814 17.50	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise fr Base temperature Degree-days	om gaii	าร					4.12 13.38 1644.3	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



9a. Energy requirements	kWh/year	
Space heating requirement (useful)	8897	(81)
Fraction of heat from secondary system 0.10 (assumed for the calculation)		(82)
Efficiency of main heating system 90.0		(83)
Efficiency of secondary heating system 100		(84)
Space heating fuel (main)	8897	(85)
Space heating fuel (secondary)	890	(85a)
Water heating requirement 3319		
Efficiency of water heater 90.0		(86)
Water heating fuel	3688	(86a)
Electricity for pumps and fans	130	(87)
Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)	821	(87g)

12a. Carbon dioxide emissions	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	<u>(kWh/year)</u>	factor	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	8897	0.194	1726	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	890	0.422	375	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	716	(103)
Space and water heating			2817	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			3218	(112)
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>	
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Rate (DER)30.95				

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Bing Part2 Existing EPS in Temperate Mediterranean (TM)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey	Volume	
	<u>(m²)</u>	<u>height (m)</u>	<u>(m³)</u>	()
Ground floor	52.00	2.40	124.80	(1)
First floor	52.00	2.60	<u>135.20</u>	(2)
l otal floor area	104.00			(5)
Dwelling volume (m ³)			260.00	(6)
2. Ventilation rate				
		<u>m³ per hou</u>	<u>ur</u>	
Number of chimneys	0 × 40	0		(7)
Number of flues	0 × 20	0		(8)
Number of fans or passive vents	2 × 10	20		(9)
Number of flueless gas fires	0 × 40	0		(9a)
			<u>ach</u>	
Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fan	S		0.08	(10)
Pressure test	Yes			
Measured/design q50	10.0			
Infiltration rate			0.58	(19)
Number of sides sheltered	2			(20)
Shelter factor	0.85			(21)
Adjusted infiltration rate			0.49	(22)
Natural ventilation				<i>i</i>
Effective air change rate			0.62	(25)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
	Area	U-value	Α×U	
Element	<u>(m²)</u>	<u>(W/m²K)</u>	<u>(W/K)</u>	
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.70) 2.44	41.18	(27)
Ground floor	52.00	0.67	34.84	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.47	56.78	(29)
Roof	52.00	0.40	20.80	(30)
Total area of elements	<u>245.50</u>			(32)
Fabric heat loss			164.43	(33)
Thermal bridges (0.15 × total area)			36.83	(34)
Total fabric heat loss			201.25	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			53.22	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			254.47	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HLP)			2.45	(38)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



n <u>mater neuting energy requiremente</u>			
		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 68	<u> g </u> 0.76	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	1 <u>424</u> 424	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1174 4.62 0.980 1151	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal to</u> Mean temperature Temperature adju Adjustment for ga Adjusted living are Temperature diffe Living area fractio Rest-of-house are Mean internal tem	emperation of the listment from stment from ea temper rence be n ea fraction operature	<u>ure</u> iving area rom Tabl erature etween zo n	a e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.81 0.00 0.10 18.92 1.64 0.186 0.814 17.58	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise Base temperature Degree-days	from gai	ns					4.52 13.06 1573.4	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



9a. Energy requirements			kWh/year	
Space heating requirement (useful)			7270	(81)
Fraction of heat from secondary system (assumed for the calculation)		0.10		(82)
Efficiency of main heating system		90.0		(83)
Efficiency of secondary heating system		100		(84)
Space heating fuel (main)			7270	(85)
Space heating fuel (secondary)			727	(85a)
Water heating requirement	3319			. ,
Efficiency of water heater		90.0		(86)
Water heating fuel			3688	(86a)
Electricity for pumps and fans			130	(87)
Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)			821	(87g)

12a. Carbon dioxide emissions	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	<u>(kWh/year)</u>	<u>factor</u>	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	7270	0.194	1410	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	727	0.422	307	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	716	(103)
Space and water heating			2433	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			2834	(112)
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>	
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Ra	te (DER)		27.25	(113)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Bing Part2 Existing EPS in Cool Continental (CC)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey	Volume	
Ground floor	52.00	2 40	124.80	(1)
First floor	52.00	2.40	135 20	(1)
Total floor area	104.00	2.00	100.20	(<i>2</i>) (5)
Dwelling volume (m ³)	104.00		260.00	(0)
			200.00	(0)
2. Ventilation rate				
		m ³ per hou	<u>ur</u>	<u> </u>
Number of chimneys	0 × 40	0		(7)
Number of flues	0 × 20	0		(8)
Number of fans or passive vents	2 × 10	20		(9)
Number of flueless gas fires	0 × 40	0		(9a)
			<u>ach</u>	
Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fan	S		0.08	(10)
Pressure test	Yes			
Measured/design q50	10.0			
Infiltration rate			0.58	(19)
Number of sides sheltered	2			(20)
Shelter factor	0.85			(21)
Adjusted infiltration rate			0.49	(22)
Natural ventilation				
Effective air change rate			0.62	(25)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
- <u> </u>	Area	U-value	Α×υ	
Element	(m²)	(W/m ² K)	(W/K)	
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.70) 2.44	41.18	(27)
Ground floor	52.00	0.67	34.84	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.47	56.78	(29)
Roof	52.00	0.40	20.80	(30)
Total area of elements	245.50			(32)
Fabric heat loss	<u></u>		164.43	(33)
Thermal bridges $(0.15 \times \text{total area})$			36.83	(34)
Total fabric heat loss			201.25	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			53.22	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			254.47	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HLP)			2.45	(38)
			-	(= =)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	


		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 46	<u> g </u> 0.76	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	<u>287</u> 287	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1037 4.08 0.988 1025	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal to</u> Mean temperature Temperature adju Adjustment for ga Adjusted living are Temperature diffe Living area fractio Rest-of-house are Mean internal tem	emperation of the listment from the stment from the stment from the stment from the stment from the stment from the stment from the stment fro	<u>ure</u> iving area rom Tabl erature etween zo n	a e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.81 0.00 0.01 18.82 1.64 0.186 0.814 17.48	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise Base temperature Degree-days	from gai	ns					4.03 13.46 1660.4	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



9a. Energy requirements			kWh/year	
Space heating requirement (useful)			18566	(81)
Fraction of heat from secondary system (assumed for the calculation)		0.10		(82)
Efficiency of main heating system		90.0		(83)
Efficiency of secondary heating system		100		(84)
Space heating fuel (main)			18566	(85)
Space heating fuel (secondary)			1857	(85a)
Water heating requirement	3319			
Efficiency of water heater		90.0		(86)
Water heating fuel			3688	(86a)
Electricity for pumps and fans			130	(87)
Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)			821	(87g)

12a. <u>Carbon dioxide emissions</u>	Energy	Emission	Emissions		
	<u>(Kvvn/year)</u>	tactor	<u>(kg/year)</u>		
Space heating, main - box (85)	18566	0.194	3602	(101)	
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	1857	0.422	783	(102)	
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	716	(103)	
Space and water heating			5101	(107)	
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)	
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)	
Total kg/year			5502	(112)	
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>		
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Rate (DER) 52.90					

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



SAP 2005 WORKSHEET FOR NEW DWELLING AS DESIGNED (Version 9.82, June 2008) CALCULATION OF DWELLING EMISSIONS FOR REGULATIONS COMPLANCE Approved Document L1A, 2006 Edition Bing Part 3 - Newbuild Flat Roof in Temperate Oceanic (TO)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey		
Ground floor	52.00	2.40	124.80	(1)
First floor	52.00	2.60	135.20	(1)
Total floor area	104.00		<u></u>	(5)
Dwelling volume (m ³)			260.00	(6)
2. Ventilation rate				
	0 40	m ³ per hou	<u>ır</u>	
Number of chimneys	0×40	0		(7)
Number of flues	0×20	0		(8)
Number of fans of passive vents	2 × 10	20		(9)
Number of nucless gas fires	0×40	0	aab	(9a)
Infiltration due to chimpove, flues and for	-			(10)
Dressure test	Noo		0.06	(10)
Managurad/dasian a50	10.0			
Infiltration rate	10.0		0.59	(10)
Number of sides sheltered	2		0.56	(19)
Shelter factor	0.85			(20)
Adjusted infiltration rate	0.05		0 4 9	(21)
Natural ventilation			0.45	(22)
Effective air change rate			0.62	(25)
			0.02	(20)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
	Area	U-value	A×U	
Element	<u>(m²)</u>	<u>(W/m²K)</u>	<u>(W/K)</u>	()
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.10) 1.94	32.74	(27)
Ground floor	52.00	0.18	9.36	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.15	18.12	(29)
Root	52.00	0.15	7.80	(30)
Total area of elements	<u>245.50</u>			(32)
Fabric heat loss			78.85	(33)
Thermal bridges (0.08 × total area)			<u>19.64</u>	(34)
I otal fabric heat loss			98.49	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			53.22	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			151.71	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HLP)			1.46	(38)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 50	_ <u>g_</u> 0.72	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	<u>295</u> 295	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1046 6.89 0.927 969	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal ter</u> Mean temperature Temperature adju Adjustment for ga Adjusted living are Temperature diffe Living area fractio Rest-of-house are Mean internal tem	emperation of the listment from the stment from the stment from the stment from the stment fro	<u>ure</u> iving area rom Tabl erature etween zo n	a e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.88 0.00 0.48 19.36 1.48 0.186 0.814 18.15	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise Base temperature Degree-days	from gai	ns					6.39 11.76 1295.1	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



		<u>kWh/year</u>	
		4059	(81)
	0.10		(82)
	90.0		(83)
	100		(84)
		4059	(85)
		406	(85a)
3319			
	90.0		(86)
		3688	(86a)
		130	(87)
		821	(87g)
	3319	0.10 90.0 100 3319 90.0	kWh/year 0.10 90.0 100 4059 3319 90.0 3688 130 821

12a. <u>Carbon dioxide emissions</u>	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	<u>(kWh/year)</u>	factor	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	4059	0.194	788	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	406	0.422	171	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	<u>716</u>	(103)
Space and water heating			1674	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			2076	(112)
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>	
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Ra	19.96	(113)		

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



SAP 2005 WORKSHEET FOR NEW DWELLING AS DESIGNED (Version 9.82, June 2008) CALCULATION OF DWELLING EMISSIONS FOR REGULATIONS COMPLANCE Approved Document L1A, 2006 Edition

Bing Part 3 - Newbuild Flat Roof in Temperate Mediterranean (TM)

Ground floor 52.00 2.40 124.80 (1) First floor 52.00 2.60 135.20 (2) Total floor area 104.00 (5) Dwelling volume (m³) 260.00 (6) 2. Ventilation rate $m^3 per hour$ (7) Number of chimneys 0×40 0 (7) Number of flues 0×20 0 (8) Number of flues 0×20 0 (9) Number of flueless gas fires 0×40 0 $(9a)$ Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans 0.08 (10) Pressure testYes (20) Shelter factor (21) Adjusted infiltration rate 0.85 (21) Adjusted infiltration rate 0.49 (22) Natural ventilation 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 Element (m^2) (W/m^2K) (W/K) Doors 3.80 2.85 10.83 Walls 120.80 0.15 18.12 Walls 120.80 0.15 18.12 Walls 120.80 0.15 78.85 Walls 120.80 0.15 78.85 Matal vent lation 19.64 (34) Total area of elements 245.50 (32) Total area of elements 245.50 (32) Total fabric heat loss 78.85 (33) Thermal bridges $(0.08 \times$ total area) 19.64 Heat loss coefficient 53.22 (36)	1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area (m²)	Av. storey	Volume (m ³)	
DistributionDisco 100Disco 100Disco 100Disco Disco Disco Disco 	Ground floor	<u>52 00</u>	2 40	124 80	(1)
Initial Total floor area104.00100.120(E)Dwelling volume (m³)260.00(6)2. Ventilation rate $M^3 per hour$ Number of chimneys 0×40 0 Number of flues 0×20 0 Number of fluess or passive vents 2×10 20 Number of flueless gas fires 0×40 0 Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans 0.08 Pressure testYesMeasured/design q50 10.0 Infiltration rate 0.85 Adjusted infiltration rate 0.49 Adjusted infiltration 0.49 Effective air change rate 0.62 3. Heat losses and heat loss parameterElement (m^2) Windows 16.90 Qualts 120.80 0.15 7.80 Walls 120.80 0.15 7.80 $0.08 \times total area)$ 19.64 0.49 (22) Natural ventilation (m^2) $Mindows$ 16.90 $(2.10) 1.94$ 32.74 (27) (27) (20) $51.81.12$ (29) (29) (21) 19.44 (22) (32) (32) 78.85 (33) (33) (32) 78.85 (33) (34) (34) (34) (35) (36) (36) (36) (36) (36) (36) (36) (36) (36) (36) $(3$	First floor	52.00	2.60	135 20	(1)
Initial notationIt is not it i	Total floor area	104 00	2.00	100.20	(5)
LoticeLoticeLotice(0)2. Ventilation rate $\frac{m^3 \text{ per hour}}{0}$ (7)Number of chimneys 0×40 0 (7)Number of flues 0×20 0 (8)Number of fans or passive vents 2×10 20 (9)Number of flueless gas fires 0×40 0 (9a)Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans 0.08 (10)Pressure testYesMeasured/design q5010.0Infiltration rate 0.85 (21)Adjusted infiltration rate 0.49 (22)Shelter factor 0.85 (21)Adjusted infiltration rate 0.62 (25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter $4rea$ U-valueLement (m^2) (Wm^2K) (WK) Doors 3.80 2.85 10.83 Walls 120.80 0.15 18.12 Walls 120.80 0.15 7.80 Walls 120.80 0.15 7.80 Valls 120.80 0.15 7.80 Valls 120.80 78.85 (33)Total area of elements 245.50 (32)Fabric heat loss 78.85 (33)Thermal bridges ($0.08 \times$ total area) 98.49 (35)Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36)Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)	Dwelling volume (m ³)	101.00		260.00	(6)
2. Ventilation rate $m^3 per hour$ Number of chimneys 0×40 0 (7) Number of flues 0×20 0 (8) Number of flues or passive vents 2×10 20 (9) Number of flueless gas fires 0×40 0 $(9a)$ Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans 0.08 (10) Pressure testYes 0.08 (10) Measured/design q50 10.0 Infiltration rate 0.58 Infiltration rate 0.85 (21) Adjusted infiltration rate 0.49 (22) Natural ventilation 0.49 (22) Shelter factor 0.85 (21) Adjusted infiltration rate 0.62 (25) 3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter $4rea$ U -valueElement (m^2) (W/m^2K) (W/K) Doors 3.80 2.85 10.83 Windows 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 32.00 52.00 0.15 7.80 Walls 120.80 0.15 7.80 Walls 120.80 0.15 7.80 Nord 52.00 0.15 7.80 Walls 120.80 0.15 7.80 Yentilation heat loss 98.49 (35) Ventilation heat loss 98.49 (35) Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36) Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)				200100	(0)
Number of chimneys0× 400(7)Number of flues0× 200(8)Number of flues or passive vents2× 1020(9)Number of flueless gas fires0× 400(9a)Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans0.08(10)(10)Pressure testYes0.08(10)Measured/design q5010.010.0(10)Infiltration rate0.58(19)Number of sides sheltered2(20)Shelter factor0.85(21)Adjusted infiltration rate0.49(22)Natural ventilation0.62(25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter $Area$ U-valueElement(m²)(W/m²K)(W/K)Doors3.802.8510.83Windows16.90(2.10)1.94Quot floor52.000.157.80Walls120.800.157.80Walls120.80.157.80Total area of elements245.50(32)Fabric heat loss98.49(35)Ventilation heat loss98.49(35)Ventilation heat loss98.49(35)Ventilation heat loss98.49(35)Ventilation heat loss53.22(36)Heat loss coefficient151.71(37)	2. Ventilation rate				
Number of chimneys 0×40 0 (7) Number of flues 0×20 0 (8) Number of fluess or passive vents 2×10 20 (9) Number of flueless gas fires 0×40 0 $(9a)$ Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans 0.08 (10) Pressure testYes 0.08 (10) Measured/design q50 10.0 10.0 (20) Infiltration rate 0.58 (19) Number of sides sheltered 2 (20) Shelter factor 0.85 (21) Adjusted infiltration rate 0.49 (22) Natural ventilation 0.62 (25) 3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter 0.62 (25) 3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter 0.62 (27) Ground floor 52.00 0.18 9.36 Walls 120.80 0.15 18.12 Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 Kalls 120.80 0.15 7.80 Total area of elements 245.50 (32) Fabric heat loss 98.49 (35) Ventilation heat loss 98.49 (35) Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36) Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)			<u>m³ per hou</u>	<u>ir</u>	<i>(</i>)
Number of flues 0×20 0 (8)Number of fans or passive vents 2×10 20 (9)Number of flueless gas fires 0×40 0 (9a)Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans 0×40 0 (9a)Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans 0×40 0 (9a)Pressure testYes 0×40 0 (10)Pressure testYes 0.85 (10)Infiltration rate 0.58 (19)Number of sides sheltered 2 (20)Shelter factor 0.85 (21)Adjusted infiltration rate 0.49 (22)Natural ventilation 0.62 (25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter 0.62 (25)3. Heat losses 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 32.74 Coround floor 52.00 0.15 18.12 (29)Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30)Total area of elements 245.50 (32)Fabric heat loss 78.85 (33)Thermal bridges ($0.08 \times$ total area) 19.64 (34)Total fabric heat loss 98.49 (35)Ventilation heat loss 53.22	Number of chimneys	0 × 40	0		(7)
Number of fans or passive vents 2×10 20 (9)Number of flueless gas fires 0×40 0 (9a)Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans 0.08 (10)Pressure testYes 0.08 (10)Measured/design q50 10.0 0.58 (19)Number of sides sheltered 2 (20)Shelter factor 0.85 (21)Adjusted infiltration rate 0.49 (22)Natural ventilation 0.62 (25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter 0.62 (25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter 0.62 (25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter 0.62 (27)Ground floor 52.00 0.15 18.12 Windows 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 32.74 Ground floor 52.00 0.15 7.80 Walls 120.80 0.15 18.12 Valls 120.80 0.15 78.85 Walls 120.80 0.15 78.85 Walls 19.64 (34) Thermal bridges ($0.08 \times$ total area) 98.49 (35) Ventilation heat loss 98.49 (35) Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36) Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)	Number of flues	0 × 20	0		(8)
Number of flueless gas fires 0×40 0 $(9a)$ Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans 0.08 (10) Pressure testYesMeasured/design q50 10.0 Infiltration rate 0.58 (19) Number of sides sheltered 2 (20) Shelter factor 0.85 (21) Adjusted infiltration rate 0.49 (22) Natural ventilation 0.62 (25) 3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter $Area$ U-value $A \times U$ $Element$ (m^2) (W/m^2K) Doors 3.80 2.85 10.83 $Vindows$ 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 2.74 (27) $Ground floor$ 52.00 0.18 9.36 (28) $Walls$ 120.80 0.15 18.12 (29) $Roof$ 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30) Total area of elements 245.50 (32) 78.85 (33) Thermal bridges $(0.08 \times total area)$ 19.64 (34) $Ventilation heat loss$ 98.49 (35) $Ventilation heat loss$ 53.22 (36) Heat loss coefficient 51.71 (37) (37)	Number of fans or passive vents	2 × 10	20		(9)
ach oneInfiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans0.08(10)Pressure testYesMeasured/design q5010.0Infiltration rate0.58(19)Number of sides sheltered2(20)Shelter factor0.85(21)Adjusted infiltration rate0.49(22)Natural ventilation0.62(25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter0.62(25)3. Heat loss of the one of th	Number of flueless gas fires	0 × 40	0		(9a)
Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans 0.08 (10)Pressure testYesMeasured/design q5010.0Infiltration rate 0.58 (19)Number of sides sheltered2(20)Shelter factor 0.85 (21)Adjusted infiltration rate 0.49 (22)Natural ventilationEffective air change rate 0.62 (25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter $Area$ U-value $A \times U$ Element (m^2) (W/m^2K) (W/K) Doors 3.80 2.85 10.83 (26)Windows 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 32.74 (27) Ground floor 52.00 0.15 18.12 (29) Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30) Total area of elements 245.50 (32) 78.85 (33) Thermal bridges $(0.08 \times total area)$ 19.64 (34) (34) Total fabric heat loss 98.49 (35) (36) Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36) $4at$ loss coefficient 51.71 (37)				<u>ach</u>	
Pressure testYesMeasured/design q5010.0Infiltration rate0.58Number of sides sheltered2Shelter factor0.85Adjusted infiltration rate0.49Adjusted infiltration rate0.49Atural ventilationEffective air change rate0.623. Heat losses and heat loss parameterImage: State of the	Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fans			0.08	(10)
Measured/design q5010.0Infiltration rate0.58(19)Number of sides sheltered2(20)Shelter factor0.85(21)Adjusted infiltration rate0.49(22)Natural ventilation0.62(25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter0.62(25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter0.62(25)Ground floor3.802.8510.83Vindows16.90(2.10) 1.9432.74Ground floor52.000.1518.12Walls120.800.1518.12Walls120.800.157.80Malls120.800.157.80Yotal area of elements245.50(32)Fabric heat loss78.85(33)Thermal bridges (0.08 × total area)19.64Yotal fabric heat loss98.49Yotal fabric heat loss53.22Yotal fabric heat loss </td <td>Pressure test</td> <td>Yes</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Pressure test	Yes			
Infiltration rate 0.58 (19) Number of sides sheltered2(20)Shelter factor 0.85 (21)Adjusted infiltration rate 0.49 (22)Natural ventilationEffective air change rate 0.62 (25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter $Area$ U -value $A \times U$ Element (m^2) (W/m^2K) (W/K) Doors 3.80 2.85 10.83 (26)Windows 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 32.74 (27)Ground floor 52.00 0.18 9.36 (28)Walls 120.80 0.15 18.12 (29)Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30)Total area of elements 245.50 (32)(34)Total fabric heat loss 78.85 (33)Thermal bridges ($0.08 \times$ total area) 19.64 (34)Total fabric heat loss 53.22 (36)Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)	Measured/design q50	10.0			(10)
Number of sides sheltered2(20)Shelter factor 0.85 (21)Adjusted infiltration rate 0.49 (22)Natural ventilationEffective air change rate 0.62 (25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameterAreaU-value $A \times U$ Element (m^2) (W/m^2K) (W/K) Doors 3.80 2.85 10.83 (26)Windows 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 32.74 (27)Ground floor 52.00 0.18 9.36 (28)Walls 120.80 0.15 18.12 (29)Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30)Total area of elements 245.50 (32)(34)Total fabric heat loss 78.85 (33)(34)Total fabric heat loss 98.49 (35)(35)Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36)Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)	Infiltration rate			0.58	(19)
Shelter factor 0.85 (21) Adjusted infiltration rate 0.49 (22) Natural ventilationEffective air change rate 0.62 (25) 3. Heat losses and heat loss parameterAreaU-value $A \times U$ Element (m^2) (W/m^2K) (W/K) Doors 3.80 2.85 10.83 (26) Windows 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 32.74 (27) Ground floor 52.00 0.18 9.36 (28) Walls 120.80 0.15 18.12 (29) Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30) Total area of elements 245.50 (32) 78.85 (33) Thermal bridges ($0.08 \times$ total area) 19.64 (34) 70.44 (34) Total fabric heat loss 98.49 (35) 98.49 (35) Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36) 151.71 (37)	Number of sides sheltered	2			(20)
Adjusted infiltration rate 0.49 (22) Natural ventilationEffective air change rate 0.62 (25) 3. Heat losses and heat loss parameterAreaU-value $A \times U$ Element (m^2) (W/m^2K) (W/K) Doors 3.80 2.85 10.83 (26) Windows 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 32.74 (27) Ground floor 52.00 0.18 9.36 (28) Walls 120.80 0.15 18.12 (29) Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30) Total area of elements 245.50 (32) 78.85 (33) Thermal bridges ($0.08 \times$ total area) 19.64 (34) 70.44 (34) Total fabric heat loss 53.22 (36) 451.71 (37)	Shelter factor	0.85		0.40	(21)
Natural ventilation0.62(25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameterAreaU-value $A \times U$ Element (m^2) (W/m^2K) (W/K) Doors3.802.8510.83(26)Windows16.90(2.10) 1.9432.74(27)Ground floor52.000.189.36(28)Walls120.800.1518.12(29)Roof52.000.157.80(30)Total area of elements245.50(32)Fabric heat loss78.85(33)Thermal bridges (0.08 × total area)19.64(34)Total fabric heat loss98.49(35)Ventilation heat loss53.22(36)Heat loss coefficient151.71(37)	Adjusted infiltration rate			0.49	(22)
Effective air change rate 0.62 (25)3. Heat losses and heat loss parameterAreaU-valueA × UElement (m^2) (W/m^2K) (W/K) Doors 3.80 2.85 10.83 (26)Windows 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 32.74 (27)Ground floor 52.00 0.18 9.36 (28)Walls 120.80 0.15 18.12 (29)Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30)Total area of elements 245.50 (32)Fabric heat loss 78.85 (33)Thermal bridges ($0.08 \times$ total area) 19.64 (34)Total fabric heat loss 98.49 (35)Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36)Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)	Natural ventilation			0.00	(05)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameterAreaU-valueA \times UElement (m^2) (W/m^2K) (W/K) Doors3.802.8510.83(26)Windows16.90(2.10) 1.9432.74(27)Ground floor52.000.189.36(28)Walls120.800.1518.12(29)Roof52.000.157.80(30)Total area of elements245.50(32)Fabric heat loss78.85(33)Thermal bridges (0.08 \times total area)19.64(34)Total fabric heat loss98.49(35)Ventilation heat loss53.22(36)Heat loss coefficient151.71(37)	Effective air change rate			0.62	(25)
AreaU-valueA x UElement (m^2) (W/m^2K) (W/K) Doors3.802.8510.83(26)Windows16.90(2.10) 1.9432.74(27)Ground floor52.000.189.36(28)Walls120.800.1518.12(29)Roof52.000.157.80(30)Total area of elements245.50(32)Fabric heat loss78.85(33)Thermal bridges (0.08 x total area)19.64(34)Total fabric heat loss98.49(35)Ventilation heat loss53.22(36)Heat loss coefficient151.71(37)	3 Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
Element (m^2) (W/m^2K) (W/K) Doors 3.80 2.85 10.83 (26) Windows 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 32.74 (27) Ground floor 52.00 0.18 9.36 (28) Walls 120.80 0.15 18.12 (29) Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30) Total area of elements 245.50 (32) Fabric heat loss 78.85 (33) Thermal bridges ($0.08 \times$ total area) 19.64 (34) Total fabric heat loss 98.49 (35) Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36) Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)	5. Treat 1035es and heat 1035 parameter	Δrea	مباديدا		
Licitical (117) (107) (107) (107) (107) (107) Doors 3.80 2.85 10.83 (26) Windows 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 32.74 (27) Ground floor 52.00 0.18 9.36 (28) Walls 120.80 0.15 18.12 (29) Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30) Total area of elements 245.50 (32) Fabric heat loss 78.85 (33) Thermal bridges ($0.08 \times$ total area) 19.64 (34) Total fabric heat loss 98.49 (35) Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36) Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)	Flement	(m ²)	$(M/m^{2}K)$	(\\\/K)	
Bools 3.60 2.03 10.03 (20) Windows 16.90 (2.10) 1.94 32.74 (27) Ground floor 52.00 0.18 9.36 (28) Walls 120.80 0.15 18.12 (29) Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30) Total area of elements 245.50 (32) Fabric heat loss 78.85 (33) Thermal bridges ($0.08 \times total area$) 19.64 (34) Total fabric heat loss 98.49 (35) Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36) Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)	Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Ground floor52.000.189.36(21)Walls120.800.1518.12(29)Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30)Total area of elements 245.50 (32)Fabric heat loss 78.85 (33)Thermal bridges (0.08 x total area) 19.64 (34)Total fabric heat loss 98.49 (35)Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36)Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)	Windows	16.90	$(2\ 10)\ 1\ 94$	32 74	(20)
Walls120.800.1518.12(29)Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30)Total area of elements 245.50 (32)Fabric heat loss 78.85 (33)Thermal bridges (0.08 × total area) 19.64 (34)Total fabric heat loss 98.49 (35)Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36)Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)	Ground floor	52.00	0.18	9.36	(28)
Roof 52.00 0.15 7.80 (30) Total area of elements 245.50 (32) Fabric heat loss 78.85 (33) Thermal bridges ($0.08 \times total area$) 19.64 (34) Total fabric heat loss 98.49 (35) Ventilation heat loss 53.22 (36) Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)	Walls	120.80	0.15	18 12	(29)
Total area of elements245.50(32)Fabric heat loss78.85(33)Thermal bridges (0.08 × total area)19.64(34)Total fabric heat loss98.49(35)Ventilation heat loss53.22(36)Heat loss coefficient151.71(37)	Roof	52.00	0.15	7.80	(30)
Fabric heat loss78.85(33)Thermal bridges (0.08 × total area)19.64(34)Total fabric heat loss98.49(35)Ventilation heat loss53.22(36)Heat loss coefficient151.71(37)	Total area of elements	245.50	0.10		(32)
Thermal bridges ($0.08 \times total area$)19.64(34)Total fabric heat loss98.49(35)Ventilation heat loss53.22(36)Heat loss coefficient151.71(37)	Fabric heat loss	210.00		78 85	(33)
Total fabric heat loss98.49(35)Ventilation heat loss53.22(36)Heat loss coefficient151.71(37)	Thermal bridges (0.08 x total area)			19.64	(34)
Ventilation heat loss53.22(36)Heat loss coefficient151.71(37)	Total fabric heat loss			98.49	(35)
Heat loss coefficient 151.71 (37)	Ventilation heat loss			53.22	(36)
	Heat loss coefficient			151.71	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HLP) 1.46 (38)	Heat loss parameter (HLP)			1.46	(38)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 68	_ <u>g</u> 0.72	<u>FF</u> 0.70	<u>Shading</u> 0.77 total:	401 401	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains							1152 7.59 0.907 1044	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal te</u> Mean temperature Temperature adjus Adjustment for gair Adjusted living area Temperature differen Living area fraction Rest-of-house area Mean internal temp	mperatu of the li tment fr a tempe ence be a fraction perature	<u>ure</u> ving area rom Table erature etween zo	a e 4e ones				<u>°C</u> 18.88 0.00 0.58 19.46 1.48 0.186 0.814 18.25	(70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise fr Base temperature Degree-days	rom gaiı	าร					6.88 11.36 1213.0	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



<u>kWh/year</u>
3317 (81)
0.10 (82)
90.0 (83)
100 (84)
3317 (85)
332 (85a)
90.0 (86)
3688 (86a)
130 (87)
821 (87g)
90.0 (83 100 (84 3317 (85 332 (85 90.0 (86 3688 (86 130 (87 821 (87

12a. <u>Carbon dioxide emissions</u>	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	(kWh/year)	<u>factor</u>	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	3317	0.194	643	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	332	0.422	140	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	716	(103)
Space and water heating			1499	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			1900	(112)
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>	
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Ra	18.27	(113)		

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



SAP 2005 WORKSHEET FOR NEW DWELLING AS DESIGNED (Version 9.82, June 2008) CALCULATION OF DWELLING EMISSIONS FOR REGULATIONS COMPLANCE Approved Document L1A, 2006 Edition

Bing Part 3 - Newbuild Flat Roof in Cool Continental (CC)

1. Overall dwelling dimensions	Area	Av. storey	Volume	
	<u>(m²)</u>	height (m)	<u>(m³)</u>	
Ground floor	52.00	2.40	124.80	(1)
FIRST FLOOR	<u>52.00</u>	2.60	135.20	(2)
l otal floor area	104.00		260.00	(5)
Dwelling volume (m ²)			260.00	(6)
2. Ventilation rate				
		<u>m³ per hou</u>	<u>ır</u>	
Number of chimneys	0 × 40	0		(7)
Number of flues	0 × 20	0		(8)
Number of fans or passive vents	2 × 10	20		(9)
Number of flueless gas fires	0 × 40	0		(9a)
			<u>ach</u>	
Infiltration due to chimneys, flues and fan	S		0.08	(10)
Pressure test	Yes			
Measured/design q50	10.0			() =)
Infiltration rate	•		0.58	(19)
Number of sides sheltered	2			(20)
Shelter factor	0.85		0.40	(21)
Adjusted infiltration rate			0.49	(22)
Natural ventilation			0.00	
Effective air change rate			0.62	(25)
3. Heat losses and heat loss parameter				
	Area	U-value	Α×U	
Element	<u>(m²)</u>	<u>(W/m²K)</u>	<u>(W/K)</u>	
Doors	3.80	2.85	10.83	(26)
Windows	16.90	(2.10) 1.94	32.74	(27)
Ground floor	52.00	0.18	9.36	(28)
Walls	120.80	0.15	18.12	(29)
Roof	52.00	0.15	7.80	(30)
Total area of elements	<u>245.50</u>			(32)
Fabric heat loss			78.85	(33)
Thermal bridges (0.08 × total area)			19.64	(34)
Total fabric heat loss			98.49	(35)
Ventilation heat loss			53.22	(36)
Heat loss coefficient			151.71	(37)
Heat loss parameter (HLP)			1.46	(38)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



n <u>water neuting energy requiremente</u>			
		<u>kWh/year</u>	
Energy content of heated water		2152	(39)
Distribution loss		380	(40)
Cylinder volume	120		(43)
Cylinder loss factor (kWh/litre/day)	0.0181		(44)
Volume factor	1.000		(44a)
Temperature factor	0.54		(44b)
Energy lost from cylinder in kWh/year (120 litres)		428	(47)
Primary circuit loss		360	(48)
Total		3319	(49a)
Solar input		0	(50)
Output from water heater		3319	(51)
Heat gains from water heating		1472	(52)

<u></u>	Watts	
Lights, appliances, cooking and metabolic	594	(53)
Reduction in lighting gains	-22	(53a)
Additional gains (Table 5a)	10	(53b)
Water heating	168	(54)
Total internal gains	751	(55)

6. <u>Solar gains</u> <u>Orientation</u> East/West	0.9 ×	<u>Area</u> 16.90	<u>Flux</u> 46	<u> g </u> 0.72	<u>FF</u> <u>Shadii</u> 0.70 0.77 total:	ng <u>272</u> 272	<u>Gains (W)</u> (58) (65)
Total gains Gain/loss ratio Utilisation factor Useful gains						1022 6.74 0.931 952	(66) (67) (68) (69)
7. <u>Mean internal te</u> Mean temperature Temperature adjust Adjustment for gain Adjusted living area Temperature differ Living area fraction Rest-of-house area Mean internal temp	mperatu of the li stment fr ns a tempe ence be a fractio perature	<u>ure</u> ving area rom Tabl erature etween zo n	a e 4e ones			<u>°C</u> 18.88 0.00 0.45 19.33 1.48 0.186 0.814 18.13	 (70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77)
8. <u>Degree-days</u> Temperature rise f Base temperature Degree-days	rom gai	ns				6.27 11.85 1314.5	(78) (79) (80)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	

9a. Energy requirementskWh/yearSpace heating requirement (useful)9691(81)Fraction of heat from secondary system0.10(82)(assumed for the calculation)90.0(83)Efficiency of main heating system90.0(83)Efficiency of secondary heating system100(84)Space heating fuel (main)9691(85)Space heating fuel (secondary)969(85a)Water heating requirement33195000Efficiency of water heater90.0(86)Water heating fuel3688(86a)Electricity for pumps and fans130(87)Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)821(87g)					
Space heating requirement (useful)9691(81)Fraction of heat from secondary system0.10(82)(assumed for the calculation)90.0(83)Efficiency of main heating system90.0(83)Efficiency of secondary heating system100(84)Space heating fuel (main)9691(85)Space heating fuel (secondary)969(85a)Water heating requirement3319868Efficiency of water heater90.0(86)Water heating fuel3688(86a)Electricity for pumps and fans130(87)Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)821(87g)	9a. Energy requirements			kWh/year	
Fraction of heat from secondary system0.10(82)(assumed for the calculation)90.0(83)Efficiency of main heating system90.0(83)Efficiency of secondary heating system100(84)Space heating fuel (main)9691(85)Space heating fuel (secondary)969(85a)Water heating requirement331990.0Efficiency of water heater90.0(86)Water heating fuel3688(86a)Electricity for pumps and fans130(87)Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)821(87g)	Space heating requirement (useful)			9691	(81)
Efficiency of main heating system90.0(83)Efficiency of secondary heating system100(84)Space heating fuel (main)9691(85)Space heating fuel (secondary)969(85a)Water heating requirement33193319Efficiency of water heater90.0(86)Water heating fuel3688(86a)Electricity for pumps and fans130(87)Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)821(87g)	Fraction of heat from secondary system (assumed for the calculation)		0.10		(82)
Efficiency of secondary heating system100(84)Space heating fuel (main)9691(85)Space heating fuel (secondary)969(85a)Water heating requirement331990.0Efficiency of water heater90.0(86)Water heating fuel3688(86a)Electricity for pumps and fans130(87)Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)821(87g)	Efficiency of main heating system		90.0		(83)
Space heating fuel (main)9691(85)Space heating fuel (secondary)969(85a)Water heating requirement3319860Efficiency of water heater90.0(86)Water heating fuel3688(86a)Electricity for pumps and fans130(87)Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)821(87g)	Efficiency of secondary heating system		100		(84)
Space heating fuel (secondary)969(85a)Water heating requirement331990.0(86)Efficiency of water heater90.0(86)Water heating fuel3688(86a)Electricity for pumps and fans130(87)Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)821(87g)	Space heating fuel (main)			9691	(85)
Water heating requirement3319Efficiency of water heater90.0(86)Water heating fuel3688(86a)Electricity for pumps and fans130(87)Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)821(87g)	Space heating fuel (secondary)			969	(85a)
Efficiency of water heater90.0(86)Water heating fuel3688(86a)Electricity for pumps and fans130(87)Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)821(87g)	Water heating requirement	3319			
Water heating fuel3688(86a)Electricity for pumps and fans130(87)Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)821(87g)	Efficiency of water heater		90.0		(86)
Electricity for pumps and fans130(87)Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)821(87g)	Water heating fuel			3688	(86a)
Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)821(87g)	Electricity for pumps and fans			130	(87)
	Electricity for lighting (30% fixed LEL)			821	(87g)

12a. <u>Carbon dioxide emissions</u>	Energy	Emission	Emissions	
	<u>(kWh/year)</u>	<u>factor</u>	<u>(kg/year)</u>	
Space heating, main - box (85)	9691	0.194	1880	(101)
Space heating, secondary - box (85a)	969	0.422	409	(102)
Water heating - box (86a)	3688	0.194	<u> </u>	(103)
Space and water heating			3005	(107)
Pumps and fans - box (87)	130	0.422	55	(108)
Electricity for lighting	821	0.422	346	(109)
Total kg/year			3406	(112)
			<u>kg/m²/year</u>	
Dwelling Carbon Dioxide Emission Ra	ate (DER)		32.75	(113)

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	



Appendix 5

Life cycle stages impacts of PU insulation

The graphs below present the environmental impacts associated with the life cycle divided into the stages of manufacture, transport to site and disposal.



Figure (A) Characterised impact of 1 tonne of PU insulation by life cycle stage.

Figure A shows that the manufacture of the PU insulation dominates the impact categories Global Warming, Eutrophication and Acidification for Air and Water, and is also important in the Photochemical Ozone Creation category. Transport dominates the Ozone Depletion impact category.

Figure B below presents this data normalised to the annual impacts of a Western European citizen to show the relative sizes of these impact categories for each life cycle stage.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	





Figure (B) Normalised impact of 1 tonne of PU insulation by life cycle stage.

Figure B shows that Global Warming, Acidification for Air and Water and Photochemical Ozone Creation are the largest relative impacts, followed by Eutrophication. The impacts in Ozone Depletion are shown to be very small relative to the background level of impact in this category.

BRE Global Client report number 254-665	© BRE Global Ltd 2010
Commercial in confidence	